



Explicit bounds for a generating set of the class group
(under GRH)

Giuseppe Molteni
Università di Milano

Torino
22–23 Settembre 2022

Let \mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q} be a number field, with $n = [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ the degree and r_1, r_2 the real (respectively pair of) complex embedding of \mathbb{K} (so that $n = r_1 + 2r_2$). Let Δ be the absolute value of the absolute discriminant of \mathbb{K} . Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the class group for \mathbb{K} , i.e. the quotient of the group of fractional ideals in \mathbb{K} by the subgroup of principal fractional ideals.

It is known that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a finite and abelian group. Buchmann's algorithm is an efficient method to compute $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$, but it needs as basic ingredient a list of generators. Let $T_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the minimum of integers T such that $\{[p] : p \text{ prime}, Np \leq T\}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Main problem: how to estimate $T_{\mathbb{K}}$?

Minkowski (1910?): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{\Delta} \lesssim (7.38^{r_1/2} \cdot 5.8^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Later the result has been improved by Rogers ('50), Mulholland ('60), up to

Zimmert ('81): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \lesssim (50.7^{r_1/2} \cdot 19.9^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

de la Meza (2002): *Better constants for a lot of signatures for $n \leq 10$, but still $\sqrt{\Delta}$.*

Problem: Δ can be extremely large also for not so 'strange' fields, the bound becomes too large.

Let \mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q} be a number field, with $n = [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ the degree and r_1, r_2 the real (respectively pair of) complex embedding of \mathbb{K} (so that $n = r_1 + 2r_2$). Let Δ be the absolute value of the absolute discriminant of \mathbb{K} . Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the class group for \mathbb{K} , i.e. the quotient of the group of fractional ideals in \mathbb{K} by the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. It is known that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a finite and abelian group. Buchmann's algorithm is an efficient method to compute $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$, but it needs as basic ingredient a list of generators. Let $T_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the minimum of integers T such that $\{[p] : p \text{ prime}, Np \leq T\}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Main problem: how to estimate $T_{\mathbb{K}}$?

Minkowski (1910?): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{\Delta} \lesssim (7.38^{r_1/2} \cdot 5.8^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Later the result has been improved by Rogers ('50), Mulholland ('60), up to

Zimmert ('81): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \lesssim (50.7^{r_1/2} \cdot 19.9^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

de la Meza (2002): *Better constants for a lot of signatures for $n \leq 10$, but still $\sqrt{\Delta}$.*

Problem: Δ can be extremely large also for not so 'strange' fields, the bound becomes too large.

Let \mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q} be a number field, with $n = [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ the degree and r_1, r_2 the real (respectively pair of) complex embedding of \mathbb{K} (so that $n = r_1 + 2r_2$). Let Δ be the absolute value of the absolute discriminant of \mathbb{K} . Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the class group for \mathbb{K} , i.e. the quotient of the group of fractional ideals in \mathbb{K} by the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. It is known that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a finite and abelian group. Buchmann's algorithm is an efficient method to compute $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$, but it needs as basic ingredient a list of generators. Let $T_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the minimum of integers T such that $\{[p] : p \text{ prime}, Np \leq T\}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Main problem: how to estimate $T_{\mathbb{K}}$?

Minkowski (1910?): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{\Delta} \lesssim (7.38^{r_1/2} \cdot 5.8^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Later the result has been improved by Rogers ('50), Mulholland ('60), up to

Zimmert ('81): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \lesssim (50.7^{r_1/2} \cdot 19.9^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

de la Meza (2002): *Better constants for a lot of signatures for $n \leq 10$, but still $\sqrt{\Delta}$.*

Problem: Δ can be extremely large also for not so 'strange' fields, the bound becomes too large.

Let \mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q} be a number field, with $n = [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ the degree and r_1, r_2 the real (respectively pair of) complex embedding of \mathbb{K} (so that $n = r_1 + 2r_2$). Let Δ be the absolute value of the absolute discriminant of \mathbb{K} . Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the class group for \mathbb{K} , i.e. the quotient of the group of fractional ideals in \mathbb{K} by the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. It is known that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a finite and abelian group. Buchmann's algorithm is an efficient method to compute $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$, but it needs as basic ingredient a list of generators. Let $T_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the minimum of integers T such that $\{[p] : p \text{ prime}, Np \leq T\}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Main problem: how to estimate $T_{\mathbb{K}}$?

Minkowski (1910?): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{\Delta} \lesssim (7.38^{r_1/2} \cdot 5.8^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Later the result has been improved by Rogers ('50), Mulholland ('60), up to

Zimmert ('81): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \lesssim (50.7^{r_1/2} \cdot 19.9^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

de la Meza (2002): *Better constants for a lot of signatures for $n \leq 10$, but still $\sqrt{\Delta}$.*

Problem: Δ can be extremely large also for not so 'strange' fields, the bound becomes too large.

Let \mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q} be a number field, with $n = [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ the degree and r_1, r_2 the real (respectively pair of) complex embedding of \mathbb{K} (so that $n = r_1 + 2r_2$). Let Δ be the absolute value of the absolute discriminant of \mathbb{K} . Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the class group for \mathbb{K} , i.e. the quotient of the group of fractional ideals in \mathbb{K} by the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. It is known that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a finite and abelian group. Buchmann's algorithm is an efficient method to compute $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$, but it needs as basic ingredient a list of generators. Let $T_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the minimum of integers T such that $\{[p] : p \text{ prime}, Np \leq T\}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Main problem: how to estimate $T_{\mathbb{K}}$?

Minkowski (1910?): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{\Delta} \lesssim (7.38^{r_1/2} \cdot 5.8^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Later the result has been improved by Rogers ('50), Mulholland ('60), up to

Zimmert ('81): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \lesssim (50.7^{r_1/2} \cdot 19.9^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

de la Meza (2002): *Better constants for a lot of signatures for $n \leq 10$, but still $\sqrt{\Delta}$.*

Problem: Δ can be extremely large also for not so 'strange' fields, the bound becomes too large.

Let \mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q} be a number field, with $n = [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ the degree and r_1, r_2 the real (respectively pair of) complex embedding of \mathbb{K} (so that $n = r_1 + 2r_2$). Let Δ be the absolute value of the absolute discriminant of \mathbb{K} . Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the class group for \mathbb{K} , i.e. the quotient of the group of fractional ideals in \mathbb{K} by the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. It is known that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a finite and abelian group. Buchmann's algorithm is an efficient method to compute $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$, but it needs as basic ingredient a list of generators. Let $T_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the minimum of integers T such that $\{[p] : p \text{ prime}, Np \leq T\}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Main problem: how to estimate $T_{\mathbb{K}}$?

Minkowski (1910?): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{\Delta} \lesssim (7.38^{r_1/2} \cdot 5.8^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Later the result has been improved by Rogers ('50), Mulholland ('60), up to

Zimmert ('81): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \lesssim (50.7^{r_1/2} \cdot 19.9^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

de la Meza (2002): *Better constants for a lot of signatures for $n \leq 10$, but still $\sqrt{\Delta}$.*

Problem: Δ can be extremely large also for not so 'strange' fields, the bound becomes too large.

Let \mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q} be a number field, with $n = [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ the degree and r_1, r_2 the real (respectively pair of) complex embedding of \mathbb{K} (so that $n = r_1 + 2r_2$). Let Δ be the absolute value of the absolute discriminant of \mathbb{K} . Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the class group for \mathbb{K} , i.e. the quotient of the group of fractional ideals in \mathbb{K} by the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. It is known that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a finite and abelian group. Buchmann's algorithm is an efficient method to compute $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$, but it needs as basic ingredient a list of generators. Let $T_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the minimum of integers T such that $\{[p] : p \text{ prime}, Np \leq T\}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Main problem: how to estimate $T_{\mathbb{K}}$?

Minkowski (1910?): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{\Delta} \lesssim (7.38^{r_1/2} \cdot 5.8^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Later the result has been improved by Rogers ('50), Mulholland ('60), up to

Zimmert ('81): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \lesssim (50.7^{r_1/2} \cdot 19.9^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

de la Meza (2002): *Better constants for a lot of signatures for $n \leq 10$, but still $\sqrt{\Delta}$.*

Problem: Δ can be extremely large also for not so 'strange' fields, the bound becomes too large.

Let \mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q} be a number field, with $n = [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ the degree and r_1, r_2 the real (respectively pair of) complex embedding of \mathbb{K} (so that $n = r_1 + 2r_2$). Let Δ be the absolute value of the absolute discriminant of \mathbb{K} . Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the class group for \mathbb{K} , i.e. the quotient of the group of fractional ideals in \mathbb{K} by the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. It is known that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a finite and abelian group. Buchmann's algorithm is an efficient method to compute $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$, but it needs as basic ingredient a list of generators. Let $T_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the minimum of integers T such that $\{[p] : p \text{ prime}, Np \leq T\}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Main problem: how to estimate $T_{\mathbb{K}}$?

Minkowski (1910?): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \frac{n!}{n^n} \sqrt{\Delta} \lesssim (7.38^{r_1/2} \cdot 5.8^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Later the result has been improved by Rogers ('50), Mulholland ('60), up to

Zimmert ('81): $T_{\mathbb{K}} \lesssim (50.7^{r_1/2} \cdot 19.9^{r_2})^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

de la Meza (2002): *Better constants for a lot of signatures for $n \leq 10$, but still $\sqrt{\Delta}$.*

Problem: Δ can be extremely large also for not so 'strange' fields, the bound becomes too large.

Theorem (Bach '90): *(GRH)* $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \begin{cases} 12 \log^2 \Delta \\ (4 + o(1)) \log^2 \Delta \end{cases}$ as $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$

*($o(1)$ term not explicit but the method cannot prove that it is lower than $(\log \Delta)^{-2/3}$). Reworking the proof of this result, Belabas, Diaz y Diaz and Friedman (2008) defined a *(GRH)* algorithm which in all test has been able to produce an even shorter generating set, but that actually estimates $T_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $T_{B D^2 F}$ where*

$$(\log \Delta \log \log \Delta)^2 \ll T_{B D^2 F} \leq \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} + o(1) \right) \log \Delta \log \log \Delta \right)^2.$$

Hence the very good performance of the algorithm is due to the small value for the constant in front and the very slow increasing behaviour of $\log \log$: however, in the (very long) run (essentially $\Delta \geq \exp(10^6)$) it is worse than Bach's bound.

Reworking one more time the approach:

Theorem (Grenié-M. 2018) *(GRH)* $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \begin{cases} 4.01 \log^2 \Delta \\ 4(\log \Delta + \log \log \Delta + 1 - 2n)^2 \end{cases}$

(the second with the exceptions $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{D}]$ for $D \in \{-15, -11, -8, -7, 8, 12\}$).

Also this approach can be modified to produce a *(GRH)* algorithm: in all tests the new algorithm produces a generating set supporting the conjecture that

$$T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq (1 + o(1)) \log^2 \Delta.$$

Theorem (Bach '90): *(GRH)* $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \begin{cases} 12 \log^2 \Delta \\ (4 + o(1)) \log^2 \Delta \end{cases}$ as $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$

($o(1)$ term not explicit but the method cannot prove that it is lower than $(\log \Delta)^{-2/3}$). Reworking the proof of this result, Belabas, Diaz y Diaz and Friedman (2008) defined a (GRH) algorithm which in all test has been able to produce an even shorter generating set, but that actually estimates $T_{\mathbb{K}}$ with T_{BD^2F} where

$$(\log \Delta \log \log \Delta)^2 \ll T_{BD^2F} \leq \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} + o(1) \right) \log \Delta \log \log \Delta \right)^2.$$

Hence the very good performance of the algorithm is due to the small value for the constant in front and the very slow increasing behaviour of $\log \log$: however, in the (very long) run (essentially $\Delta \geq \exp(10^6)$) it is worse than Bach's bound.

Reworking one more time the approach:

Theorem (Grenié-M. 2018) *(GRH)* $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \begin{cases} 4.01 \log^2 \Delta \\ 4(\log \Delta + \log \log \Delta + 1 - 2n)^2 \end{cases}$

(the second with the exceptions $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{D}]$ for $D \in \{-15, -11, -8, -7, 8, 12\}$).

Also this approach can be modified to produce a (GRH) algorithm: in all tests the new algorithm produces a generating set supporting the conjecture that

$$T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq (1 + o(1)) \log^2 \Delta.$$

Theorem (Bach '90): *(GRH)* $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \begin{cases} 12 \log^2 \Delta \\ (4 + o(1)) \log^2 \Delta \end{cases}$ as $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$

($o(1)$ term not explicit but the method cannot prove that it is lower than $(\log \Delta)^{-2/3}$). Reworking the proof of this result, Belabas, Diaz y Diaz and Friedman (2008) defined a (GRH) algorithm which in all test has been able to produce an even shorter generating set, but that actually estimates $T_{\mathbb{K}}$ with T_{BD^2F} where

$$(\log \Delta \log \log \Delta)^2 \ll T_{BD^2F} \leq \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} + o(1) \right) \log \Delta \log \log \Delta \right)^2.$$

Hence the very good performance of the algorithm is due to the small value for the constant in front and the very slow increasing behaviour of $\log \log$: however, in the (very long) run (essentially $\Delta \geq \exp(10^6)$) it is worse than Bach's bound.

Reworking one more time the approach:

Theorem (Grenié-M. 2018) *(GRH)* $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \begin{cases} 4.01 \log^2 \Delta \\ 4(\log \Delta + \log \log \Delta + 1 - 2n)^2 \end{cases}$

(the second with the exceptions $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{D}]$ for $D \in \{-15, -11, -8, -7, 8, 12\}$).

Also this approach can be modified to produce a (GRH) algorithm: in all tests the new algorithm produces a generating set supporting the conjecture that

$$T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq (1 + o(1)) \log^2 \Delta.$$

Theorem (Bach '90): (GRH) $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \begin{cases} 12 \log^2 \Delta \\ (4 + o(1)) \log^2 \Delta \end{cases}$ as $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$

($o(1)$ term not explicit but the method cannot prove that it is lower than $(\log \Delta)^{-2/3}$). Reworking the proof of this result, Belabas, Diaz y Diaz and Friedman (2008) defined a (GRH) algorithm which in all test has been able to produce an even shorter generating set, but that actually estimates $T_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $T_{B D^2 F}$ where

$$(\log \Delta \log \log \Delta)^2 \ll T_{B D^2 F} \leq \left(\left(\frac{1}{4} + o(1) \right) \log \Delta \log \log \Delta \right)^2.$$

Hence the very good performance of the algorithm is due to the small value for the constant in front and the very slow increasing behaviour of $\log \log$: however, in the (very long) run (essentially $\Delta \geq \exp(10^6)$) it is worse than Bach's bound.

Reworking one more time the approach:

Theorem (Grenié-M. 2018) (GRH) $T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \begin{cases} 4.01 \log^2 \Delta \\ 4(\log \Delta + \log \log \Delta + 1 - 2n)^2 \end{cases}$

(the second with the exceptions $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{D}]$ for $D \in \{-15, -11, -8, -7, 8, 12\}$).

Also this approach can be modified to produce a (GRH) algorithm: in all tests the new algorithm produces a generating set supporting the conjecture that

$$T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq (1 + o(1)) \log^2 \Delta.$$

Main tool: Weil-Poitou explicit formula

Let χ be any größencharakter (in particular a character for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$). Let F be a “good” even function, and $\phi(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x) e^{(s-1/2)x} dx$. Then

► Forward

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\chi_0}(\phi(1) + \phi(0)) - \sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\Lambda(\mathfrak{a})}{\sqrt{N\mathfrak{a}}} (\chi(\mathfrak{a}) + \overline{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}) F(\log N\mathfrak{a}) \\ &+ F(0)(n(\gamma + \log 2\pi) - \log \Delta) + r_1 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x)}{2 \operatorname{Ch}(x/2)} dx + n \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x) - F(0)}{2 \operatorname{Sh}(x/2)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T < T_{\mathbb{K}}$. Then the prime ideals with norm $\leq T$ generate a **proper** subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Hence there exists $\chi \neq \chi_0$ which is **trivial in the subgroup**. Suppose that F is supported in $[-L, L]$ with $L := \log T$. Subtract the formulas for $L(s, \chi_0) = \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $L(s, \chi)$:

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) - \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho) + \sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) = 0.$$

Suppose that $\phi(1/2 + it) \geq 0$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and **GRH**: then $\sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) \geq 0$ and the formula becomes

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) \leq \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho).$$

Thus, in case

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) > \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho) \quad \text{then} \quad T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

Main tool: Weil-Poitou explicit formula

Let χ be any größencharakter (in particular a character for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$). Let F be a “good” even function, and $\phi(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x) e^{(s-1/2)x} dx$. Then

► Forward

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\chi_0}(\phi(1) + \phi(0)) - \sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\Lambda(\mathfrak{a})}{\sqrt{N\mathfrak{a}}} (\chi(\mathfrak{a}) + \overline{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}) F(\log N\mathfrak{a}) \\ &+ F(0)(n(\gamma + \log 2\pi) - \log \Delta) + r_1 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x)}{2 \operatorname{Ch}(x/2)} dx + n \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x) - F(0)}{2 \operatorname{Sh}(x/2)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T < T_{\mathbb{K}}$. Then the prime ideals with norm $\leq T$ generate a **proper** subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Hence there exists $\chi \neq \chi_0$ which is **trivial in the subgroup**. Suppose that F is supported in $[-L, L]$ with $L := \log T$. Subtract the formulas for $L(s, \chi_0) = \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $L(s, \chi)$:

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) - \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho) + \sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) = 0.$$

Suppose that $\phi(1/2 + it) \geq 0$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and GRH: then $\sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) \geq 0$ and the formula becomes

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) \leq \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho).$$

Thus, in case

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) > \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho) \quad \text{then} \quad T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

Main tool: Weil-Poitou explicit formula

Let χ be any Größencharakter (in particular a character for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$). Let F be a “good” even function, and $\phi(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x) e^{(s-1/2)x} dx$. Then

▶ Forward

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\chi_0}(\phi(1) + \phi(0)) - \sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\Lambda(\mathfrak{a})}{\sqrt{N\mathfrak{a}}} (\chi(\mathfrak{a}) + \overline{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}) F(\log N\mathfrak{a}) \\ &+ F(0)(n(\gamma + \log 2\pi) - \log \Delta) + r_1 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x)}{2 \operatorname{Ch}(x/2)} dx + n \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x) - F(0)}{2 \operatorname{Sh}(x/2)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T < T_{\mathbb{K}}$. Then the prime ideals with norm $\leq T$ generate a **proper** subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Hence there exists $\chi \neq \chi_0$ which is **trivial in the subgroup**. Suppose that F is supported in $[-L, L]$ with $L := \log T$. Subtract the formulas for $L(s, \chi_0) = \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $L(s, \chi)$:

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) - \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho) + \sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) = 0.$$

Suppose that $\phi(1/2 + it) \geq 0$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and **GRH**: then $\sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) \geq 0$ and the formula becomes

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) \leq \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho).$$

Thus, in case

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) > \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho) \quad \text{then} \quad T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

Main tool: Weil-Poitou explicit formula

Let χ be any Größencharakter (in particular a character for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$). Let F be a “good” even function, and $\phi(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x) e^{(s-1/2)x} dx$. Then

▶ Forward

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\chi_0}(\phi(1) + \phi(0)) - \sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\Lambda(\mathfrak{a})}{\sqrt{N\mathfrak{a}}} (\chi(\mathfrak{a}) + \overline{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}) F(\log N\mathfrak{a}) \\ &+ F(0)(n(\gamma + \log 2\pi) - \log \Delta) + r_1 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x)}{2 \operatorname{Ch}(x/2)} dx + n \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x) - F(0)}{2 \operatorname{Sh}(x/2)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T < T_{\mathbb{K}}$. Then the prime ideals with norm $\leq T$ generate a **proper** subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Hence there exists $\chi \neq \chi_0$ which is **trivial in the subgroup**. Suppose that F is supported in $[-L, L]$ with $L := \log T$. Subtract the formulas for $L(s, \chi_0) = \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $L(s, \chi)$:

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) - \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho) + \sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) = 0.$$

Suppose that $\phi(1/2 + it) \geq 0$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and **GRH**: then $\sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) \geq 0$ and the formula becomes

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) \leq \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho).$$

Thus, in case

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) > \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho) \quad \text{then} \quad T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

Main tool: Weil-Poitou explicit formula

Let χ be any Größencharakter (in particular a character for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$). Let F be a “good” even function, and $\phi(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x) e^{(s-1/2)x} dx$. Then

▶ Forward

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\chi_0}(\phi(1) + \phi(0)) - \sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\Lambda(\mathfrak{a})}{\sqrt{N\mathfrak{a}}} (\chi(\mathfrak{a}) + \overline{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}) F(\log N\mathfrak{a}) \\ &+ F(0)(n(\gamma + \log 2\pi) - \log \Delta) + r_1 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x)}{2 \operatorname{Ch}(x/2)} dx + n \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x) - F(0)}{2 \operatorname{Sh}(x/2)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T < T_{\mathbb{K}}$. Then the prime ideals with norm $\leq T$ generate a **proper** subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Hence there exists $\chi \neq \chi_0$ which is **trivial in the subgroup**. Suppose that F is supported in $[-L, L]$ with $L := \log T$. Subtract the formulas for $L(s, \chi_0) = \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $L(s, \chi)$:

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) - \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho) + \sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) = 0.$$

Suppose that $\phi(1/2 + it) \geq 0$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and **GRH**: then $\sum_{\rho \in L_{\chi}} \phi(\rho) \geq 0$ and the formula becomes

$$\phi(1) + \phi(0) \leq \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho).$$

Thus, in case

$$4 \int_0^{+\infty} F(x) \operatorname{Ch}(x/2) dx = \phi(1) + \phi(0) > \sum_{\rho \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \phi(\rho) \quad \text{then} \quad T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

Since $\phi(1/2 + it) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x)e^{itx} dx = \widehat{F}(t)$, we can meet the condition $\phi(1/2 + it) \geq 0$ setting $F = \psi * \psi$ where ψ is real, even, stepwise C^1 and supported in $[-L/2, L/2]$. In terms of ψ the criterion becomes:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \exists \psi \text{ as above and with} \\ 8 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \operatorname{Ch}(x/2) \right]^2 > \sum_{\gamma \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} |\widehat{\psi}(\gamma)|^2 \end{array} \right. \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

We further specialize the function setting $\psi = \psi^+(L/2 + x) + \psi^+(L/2 - x)$, where ψ^+ is positive and supported in $[0, L]$. Then

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \operatorname{Ch}(x/2) dx = T^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx.$$

Moreover, $\widehat{\psi}(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re}[e^{-itL/2} \widehat{\psi^+}(t)]$ so that $|\widehat{\psi}(t)|^2 \leq 4 |\widehat{\psi^+}(t)|^2 = 4 \widehat{\psi^+ * \psi^-}(t)$ where $\psi^-(x) := \psi^+(-x)$, and the criterion becomes:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \exists \psi^+ \text{ as above and with} \\ 2 \left[T^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx \right]^2 > 4 \sum_{\gamma \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \widehat{\psi^+ * \psi^-}(\gamma) \end{array} \right. \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

The key point here is that the series on zeros involves values of a Fourier transform, so that we can apply once more time the explicit formula for $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $F = 4\psi^+ * \psi^-$ to write this sum as a sum on ideals ◀ Weil-Poitou, getting that:

Since $\phi(1/2 + it) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x)e^{itx} dx = \widehat{F}(t)$, we can meet the condition $\phi(1/2 + it) \geq 0$ setting $F = \psi * \psi$ where ψ is real, even, stepwise C^1 and supported in $[-L/2, L/2]$. In terms of ψ the criterion becomes:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \exists \psi \text{ as above and with} \\ 8 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \operatorname{Ch}(x/2) \right]^2 > \sum_{\gamma \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} |\widehat{\psi}(\gamma)|^2 \end{array} \right. \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

We further specialize the function setting $\psi = \psi^+(L/2 + x) + \psi^+(L/2 - x)$, where ψ^+ is positive and supported in $[0, L]$. Then

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \operatorname{Ch}(x/2) dx = T^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx.$$

Moreover, $\widehat{\psi}(t) = 2 \operatorname{Re}[e^{-iLt/2} \widehat{\psi^+}(t)]$ so that $|\widehat{\psi}(t)|^2 \leq 4 |\widehat{\psi^+}(t)|^2 = 4 \widehat{\psi^+ * \psi^-}(t)$ where $\psi^-(x) := \psi^+(-x)$, and the criterion becomes:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \exists \psi^+ \text{ as above and with} \\ 2 \left[T^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx \right]^2 > 4 \sum_{\gamma \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \widehat{\psi^+ * \psi^-}(\gamma) \end{array} \right. \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

The key point here is that the series on zeros involves values of a Fourier transform, so that we can apply once more time the explicit formula for $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $F = 4\psi^+ * \psi^-$ to write this sum as a sum on ideals ◀ Weil-Poitou, getting that:

Since $\phi(1/2 + it) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x)e^{itx} dx = \widehat{F}(t)$, we can meet the condition $\phi(1/2 + it) \geq 0$ setting $F = \psi * \psi$ where ψ is real, even, stepwise C^1 and supported in $[-L/2, L/2]$. In terms of ψ the criterion becomes:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \exists \psi \text{ as above and with} \\ 8 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \text{Ch}(x/2) \right]^2 > \sum_{\gamma \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} |\widehat{\psi}(\gamma)|^2 \end{array} \right. \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

We further specialize the function setting $\psi = \psi^+(L/2 + x) + \psi^+(L/2 - x)$, where ψ^+ is positive and supported in $[0, L]$. Then

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \text{Ch}(x/2) dx = T^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx.$$

Moreover, $\widehat{\psi}(t) = 2 \text{Re}[e^{-iLt/2} \widehat{\psi}^+(t)]$ so that $|\widehat{\psi}(t)|^2 \leq 4 |\widehat{\psi}^+(t)|^2 = 4 \widehat{\psi^+ * \psi^-}(t)$ where $\psi^-(x) := \psi^+(-x)$, and the criterion becomes:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \exists \psi^+ \text{ as above and with} \\ 2 \left[T^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx \right]^2 > 4 \sum_{\gamma \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \widehat{\psi^+ * \psi^-}(\gamma) \end{array} \right. \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

The key point here is that the series on zeros involves values of a Fourier transform, so that we can apply once more time the explicit formula for $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $F = 4\psi^+ * \psi^-$ to write this sum as a sum on ideals ◀ Weil-Poitou, getting that:

Since $\phi(1/2 + it) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x)e^{itx} dx = \widehat{F}(t)$, we can meet the condition $\phi(1/2 + it) \geq 0$ setting $F = \psi * \psi$ where ψ is real, even, stepwise C^1 and supported in $[-L/2, L/2]$. In terms of ψ the criterion becomes:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \exists \psi \text{ as above and with} \\ 8 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \text{Ch}(x/2) \right]^2 > \sum_{\gamma \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} |\widehat{\psi}(\gamma)|^2 \end{array} \right. \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

We further specialize the function setting $\psi = \psi^+(L/2 + x) + \psi^+(L/2 - x)$, where ψ^+ is positive and supported in $[0, L]$. Then

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \text{Ch}(x/2) dx = T^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx.$$

Moreover, $\widehat{\psi}(t) = 2 \text{Re}[e^{-iLt/2} \widehat{\psi^+}(t)]$ so that $|\widehat{\psi}(t)|^2 \leq 4 |\widehat{\psi^+}(t)|^2 = 4 \widehat{\psi^+ * \psi^-}(t)$ where $\psi^-(x) := \psi^+(-x)$, and the criterion becomes:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \exists \psi^+ \text{ as above and with} \\ 2 \left[T^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^L \psi^+(x) e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx \right]^2 > 4 \sum_{\gamma \in \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}} \widehat{\psi^+ * \psi^-}(\gamma) \end{array} \right. \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

The key point here is that the series on zeros involves values of a Fourier transform, so that we can apply once more time the explicit formula for $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $F = 4\psi^+ * \psi^-$ to write this sum as a sum on ideals [◀ Weil-Poitou](#), getting that:

if there exists ψ^+ which is positive, even, stepwise C^1 , supported in $[0, L]$ with

$$2\left[T^{-\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^L\psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}}dx+T^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^L\psi^+(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}}dx\right]^2 > 16\int_0^{+\infty}(\psi^+*\psi^-)(x)\text{Ch}(x/2)dx$$

$$-8\sum_a\frac{\Lambda(a)}{\sqrt{Na}}(\psi^+*\psi^-)(\log Na)+4(\psi^+*\psi^-)(0)(\log\Delta-(\gamma+\log 2\pi)n)$$

$$-2r_1\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{(\psi^+*\psi^-)(x)}{\text{Ch}(x/2)}dx-2nI(\psi^+*\psi^-)\implies T_{\mathbb{K}}\leq T$$

with $I(g):=\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{g(x)-g(0)}{\text{Sh}(x/2)}dx$. After some book-keeping we get

$$\sqrt{T}>2T\frac{\int_0^L(\psi^+)^2(x)dx}{\left(\int_0^L\psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}}dx\right)^2}(\log\Delta-(\gamma+\log 8\pi)n)$$

$$+2T\frac{\int_0^L\psi^+(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}}dx}{\int_0^L\psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}}dx}+2T\frac{I(\psi^+*\psi^-)}{\left(\int_0^{+\infty}\psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}}dx\right)^2}n\implies T_{\mathbb{K}}\leq T.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that $\left(\int_0^L\psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}}dx\right)^2\leq(T-1)\int_0^L(\psi^+)^2(x)dx$ with equality only for $\psi^+(x)=e^{\frac{x}{2}}$. With this choice the criterion becomes

$$\sqrt{T}\geq\frac{2T}{T-1}(\log\Delta+L)+cn\implies T_{\mathbb{K}}\leq T$$

for a suitable constant c , i.e.,

Optimal choice for F

if there exists ψ^+ which is positive, even, stepwise C^1 , supported in $[0, L]$ with

$$2\left[T^{-\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx\right]^2 > 16 \int_0^{+\infty} (\psi^+ * \psi^-)(x) \text{Ch}(x/2) dx \\ + (\psi^+ * \psi^-)(0)(\log \Delta - (\gamma + \log 2\pi)n) - 2n I(\psi^+ * \psi^-) \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

After some book-keeping we get

$$\sqrt{T} > 2T \frac{\int_0^L (\psi^+)^2(x) dx}{\left(\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2} (\log \Delta - (\gamma + \log 8\pi)n) \\ + 2T \frac{\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx}{\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx} + 2T \frac{I(\psi^+ * \psi^-)}{\left(\int_0^{+\infty} \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2} n \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that $\left(\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2 \leq (T-1) \int_0^L (\psi^+)^2(x) dx$ with equality only for $\psi^+(x) = e^{\frac{x}{2}}$. With this choice the criterion becomes

$$\sqrt{T} \geq \frac{2T}{T-1} (\log \Delta + L) + cn \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T$$

for a suitable constant c , i.e.,

$$T \geq 4(\log \Delta + \log \log \Delta + c'n)^2 \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T$$

for a suitable constant c' . Some judicious variations of this choice for ψ^+ produce the theorem.

if there exists ψ^+ which is positive, even, stepwise C^1 , supported in $[0, L]$ with

$$2\left[T^{-\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx\right]^2 > 16 \int_0^{+\infty} (\psi^+ * \psi^-)(x) \text{Ch}(x/2) dx \\ + (\psi^+ * \psi^-)(0)(\log \Delta - (\gamma + \log 2\pi)n) - 2n I(\psi^+ * \psi^-) \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

After some book-keeping we get

$$\sqrt{T} > 2T \frac{\int_0^L (\psi^+)^2(x) dx}{\left(\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2} (\log \Delta - (\gamma + \log 8\pi)n) \\ + 2T \frac{\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx}{\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx} + 2T \frac{I(\psi^+ * \psi^-)}{\left(\int_0^{+\infty} \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2} n \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that $\left(\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2 \leq (T-1) \int_0^L (\psi^+)^2(x) dx$ with equality only for $\psi^+(x) = e^{\frac{x}{2}}$. With this choice the criterion becomes

$$\sqrt{T} \geq \frac{2T}{T-1} (\log \Delta + L) + cn \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T$$

for a suitable constant c , i.e.,

$$T \geq 4(\log \Delta + \log \log \Delta + c'n)^2 \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T$$

for a suitable constant c' . Some judicious variations of this choice for ψ^+ produce the theorem.

if there exists ψ^+ which is positive, even, stepwise C^1 , supported in $[0, L]$ with

$$2\left[T^{-\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx\right]^2 > 16 \int_0^{+\infty} (\psi^+ * \psi^-)(x) \text{Ch}(x/2) dx \\ + (\psi^+ * \psi^-)(0)(\log \Delta - (\gamma + \log 2\pi)n) - 2n I(\psi^+ * \psi^-) \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

After some book-keeping we get

$$\sqrt{T} > 2T \frac{\int_0^L (\psi^+)^2(x) dx}{\left(\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2} (\log \Delta - (\gamma + \log 8\pi)n) \\ + 2T \frac{\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx}{\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx} + 2T \frac{I(\psi^+ * \psi^-)}{\left(\int_0^{+\infty} \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2} n \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that $\left(\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2 \leq (T-1) \int_0^L (\psi^+)^2(x) dx$ with equality only for $\psi^+(x) = e^{\frac{x}{2}}$. With this choice the criterion becomes

$$\sqrt{T} \geq \frac{2T}{T-1} (\log \Delta + L) + cn \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T$$

for a suitable constant c , i.e.,

$$T \geq 4(\log \Delta + \log \log \Delta + c'n)^2 \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T$$

for a suitable constant c' . Some judicious variations of this choice for ψ^+ produce the theorem.

if there exists ψ^+ which is positive, even, stepwise C^1 , supported in $[0, L]$ with

$$2\left[T^{-\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx\right]^2 > 16 \int_0^{+\infty} (\psi^+ * \psi^-)(x) \text{Ch}(x/2) dx \\ + (\psi^+ * \psi^-)(0)(\log \Delta - (\gamma + \log 2\pi)n) - 2n I(\psi^+ * \psi^-) \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

After some book-keeping we get

$$\sqrt{T} > 2T \frac{\int_0^L (\psi^+)^2(x) dx}{\left(\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2} (\log \Delta - (\gamma + \log 8\pi)n) \\ + 2T \frac{\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx}{\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx} + 2T \frac{I(\psi^+ * \psi^-)}{\left(\int_0^{+\infty} \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2} n \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that $\left(\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2 \leq (T-1) \int_0^L (\psi^+)^2(x) dx$ with equality only for $\psi^+(x) = e^{\frac{x}{2}}$. With this choice the criterion becomes

$$\sqrt{T} \geq \frac{2T}{T-1} (\log \Delta + L) + cn \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T$$

for a suitable constant c , i.e.,

$$T \geq 4(\log \Delta + \log \log \Delta + c'n)^2 \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T$$

for a suitable constant c' . Some judicious variations of this choice for ψ^+ produce the theorem.

if there exists ψ^+ which is positive, even, stepwise C^1 , supported in $[0, L]$ with

$$2\left[T^{-\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx + T^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx\right]^2 > 16 \int_0^{+\infty} (\psi^+ * \psi^-)(x) \text{Ch}(x/2) dx \\ + (\psi^+ * \psi^-)(0)(\log \Delta - (\gamma + \log 2\pi)n) - 2n I(\psi^+ * \psi^-) \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

After some book-keeping we get

$$\sqrt{T} > 2T \frac{\int_0^L (\psi^+)^2(x) dx}{\left(\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2} (\log \Delta - (\gamma + \log 8\pi)n) \\ + 2T \frac{\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{-\frac{x}{2}} dx}{\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx} + 2T \frac{I(\psi^+ * \psi^-)}{\left(\int_0^{+\infty} \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2} n \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that $\left(\int_0^L \psi^+(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} dx\right)^2 \leq (T-1) \int_0^L (\psi^+)^2(x) dx$ with equality only for $\psi^+(x) = e^{\frac{x}{2}}$. With this choice the criterion becomes

$$\sqrt{T} \geq \frac{2T}{T-1} (\log \Delta + L) + cn \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T$$

for a suitable constant c , i.e.,

$$T \geq 4(\log \Delta + \log \log \Delta + c'n)^2 \implies T_{\mathbb{K}} \leq T$$

for a suitable constant c' . Some judicious variations of this choice for ψ^+ produce the theorem.

Thanks for your attention