

Hecke operators and measures on \mathbb{Z}_p

6th Number Theory Meeting – Torino
September 22-23, 2022

Andrea Mori

Università di Torino

22 Settembre 2022

Let $\mathbb{Q}_p \subset L$ complete with discrete valuation group.
 $L \supset \mathcal{O} \supset \mathfrak{m} = \pi\mathcal{O}$, $|\pi| = e^{-1}$.

Let G be a compact group with **p -adic linear structure**:

$$\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} G, \quad \gamma(1) = g$$

A **measure** on G is a bounded linear functional on G .

Identifications

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Meas}(G; \mathcal{O}) & \simeq & \mathcal{O}[[G]] & \simeq & \mathcal{O}[[T]] \\ \delta_g & \leftarrow & g & \rightarrow & 1 + T \end{array}$$

Let $\mathbb{Q}_p \subset L$ complete with discrete valuation group.
 $L \supset \mathcal{O} \supset \mathfrak{m} = \pi\mathcal{O}$, $|\pi| = e^{-1}$.

Let G be a compact group with **p -adic linear structure**:

$$\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} G, \quad \gamma(1) = g$$

A **measure** on G is a bounded linear functional on G .

Identifications

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Meas}(G; \mathcal{O}) & \simeq & \mathcal{O}[[G]] & \simeq & \mathcal{O}[[T]] \\ \delta_g & \leftarrow & g & \rightarrow & 1 + T \end{array}$$

Let $\mu \in \text{Meas}(G; \mathcal{O})$.

For all $n \geq 0$ set

$$m_n = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^n d\mu, \quad m_n^\times = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} x^n d\mu$$

Since

$$m_n(\mu) = m_n^\times(\mu) + \int_{p\mathbb{Z}_p} x^n d\mu$$

there are congruences

$$m_{n'} \equiv m_n \pmod{p^{\min\{r, n\}}}$$

se $1 \leq n < n'$ e $n' \equiv n \pmod{p^{r-1}(p-1)}$.

f : a weight k modular form for $\Gamma_0(N)$, $(p, N) = 1$.

E : an elliptic curve with CM in K with ordinary reduction \tilde{E} modulo p .

$x \in X_0(N)$: the point corresponding to E .

\mathcal{M} : the moduli space of formal deformations of $\tilde{E} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ to complete rings \mathcal{O} finite over the ring of Witt vectors. It supports a universal elliptic curve \mathcal{E}

Concretely \mathcal{M} is identified the formal neighborhood of x in $X_0(N)$ and \mathcal{E} is the restriction of the universal family of elliptic curves.

Serre-Tate theory: There are canonical isomorphisms

- $\mathcal{M} = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}[[q - 1]])$, q "local parameter",
- $\phi : \hat{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ "trivialization".

f : a weight k modular form for $\Gamma_0(N)$, $(p, N) = 1$.

E : an elliptic curve with CM in K with ordinary reduction \tilde{E} modulo p .

$x \in X_0(N)$: the point corresponding to E .

\mathcal{M} : the moduli space of formal deformations of $\tilde{E} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ to complete rings \mathcal{O} finite over the ring of Witt vectors. It supports a universal elliptic curve \mathcal{E}

Concretely \mathcal{M} is identified the formal neighborhood of x in $X_0(N)$ and \mathcal{E} is the restriction of the universal family of elliptic curves.

Serre-Tate theory: There are canonical isomorphisms

- $\mathcal{M} = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}[[q - 1]])$, q "local parameter",
- $\phi : \hat{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ "trivialization".

So, we get a canonical power series expansion

$$f(\mathcal{E}, i_N, \phi) = F_f[[T]] = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n,f} T^n \in \mathcal{O}[[q-1]] \quad (T = q-1).$$

The goal is to compute the action on the Hecke operator T_p on this expansion, namely compute the expansion of

$$T_p(f)(\mathcal{E}, i_N, \phi) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_C f(\mathcal{E}/C, i_{N,C}, \phi_C)$$

where the sum is extended over the finite flat subgroups of \mathcal{E} of rank p .

$\pi_C : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}/C$ quotient map (of rank p)

- $C = H = \phi^{-1}(\mu_p)$ (canonical subgroup) connected
 - $\implies \pi_H$ lifts Frobenius, π_H^t étale
 - $\implies \phi_H = \phi \circ \pi_H^t$
- C étale (π_C^t lifts Frobenius)
 - $\implies \pi_C$ restricted to $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ is iso.
 - $\implies \phi_C = \phi \circ \pi_C^{-1}$

Extra-hypothesis: \widetilde{E} is defined over \mathbb{F}_p .

\implies Frobenius is an endomorphism of \widetilde{E} and so all quotients \mathcal{E}/C are deformations of \widetilde{E} .

\implies All quotients \mathcal{E}/C are in \mathcal{M} .

- $C = H = \phi^{-1}(\mu_p)$ (canonical subgroup) connected
 $\implies \pi_H$ lifts Frobenius, π_H^t étale
 $\implies \phi_H = \phi \circ \pi_H^t$
- C étale (π_C^t lifts Frobenius)
 $\implies \pi_C$ restricted to $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ is iso.
 $\implies \phi_C = \phi \circ \pi_C^{-1}$

Extra-hypothesis: \widetilde{E} is defined over \mathbb{F}_p .

\implies Frobenius is an endomorphism of \widetilde{E} and so all quotients \mathcal{E}/C are deformations of \widetilde{E} .

\implies All quotients \mathcal{E}/C are in \mathcal{M} .

Then one gets

- $q(\mathcal{E}/H) = q(\mathcal{E})^p,$
- $q(\mathcal{E}/C)^p = q(\mathcal{E})$ if $C \neq H,$

and eventually

$$\mathrm{T}_p f(\mathcal{E}, i_N, \phi) = \frac{1}{p} \left(\sum_{\zeta^p=1} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n,f} (\zeta q^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1)^n \right) + p^{k-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n,f} (q^p - 1)^n$$

This is better understood in terms of measures.

Then one gets

- $q(\mathcal{E}/H) = q(\mathcal{E})^p,$
- $q(\mathcal{E}/C)^p = q(\mathcal{E})$ if $C \neq H,$

and eventually

$$\mathrm{T}_p f(\mathcal{E}, i_N, \phi) = \frac{1}{p} \left(\sum_{\zeta^p=1} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n,f} (\zeta q^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1)^n \right) + p^{k-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n,f} (q^p - 1)^n$$

This is better understood in terms of measures.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 p\mathbb{Z}_p & \xleftarrow{p} & \mathbb{Z}_p & \xrightarrow{p^{-1}} & p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p \\
 & \swarrow \gamma_1 & \uparrow \gamma_0 & \searrow \gamma_{-1} & \\
 & & \mathbb{Z}_p & &
 \end{array}$$

where $\gamma_j(1) = p^j$.

Given a measure μ let

$$T_{p,k}(\mu) = i_*^{-1} p^{-1} * (\mu|_{p\mathbb{Z}_p}) + p^{k-1} i_* p_*(\mu).$$

Proposition

If $\mu(f)$ is the measure associated to a modular form f as above, then

$$\mu(T_p f) = T_{p,k} \mu(f).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 p\mathbb{Z}_p & \xleftarrow{p} & \mathbb{Z}_p & \xrightarrow{p^{-1}} & p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p \\
 & \swarrow \gamma_1 & \uparrow \gamma_0 & \searrow \gamma_{-1} & \\
 & & \mathbb{Z}_p & &
 \end{array}$$

where $\gamma_j(1) = p^j$.

Given a measure μ let

$$T_{p,k}(\mu) = i_*^{-1} p^{-1} * (\mu|_{p\mathbb{Z}_p}) + p^{k-1} i_* p_*(\mu).$$

Proposition

If $\mu(f)$ is the measure associated to a modular form f as above, then

$$\mu(T_p f) = T_{p,k} \mu(f).$$

Suppose $T_\rho f = \lambda f$ so that $T_{\rho,k}\mu_f = \lambda\mu_f$.

Then we have integration formulae ($U_n = p^n\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$):

- $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} h(z) d\mu(z) = \int_{U_1} h(p^{-1}z) d\mu(z)$;
- $\lambda \int_{U_n} h(z) d\mu(z) = \int_{U_{n+1}} h(p^{-1}z) d\mu(z) + \int_{U_{n-1}} h(pz) d\mu(z)$.

Eventually

$$m_n(\mu) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda p^n + p^{2n+k-1}} m_n^\times.$$

Suppose $T_p f = \lambda f$ so that $T_{p,k} \mu_f = \lambda \mu_f$.

Then we have integration formulae ($U_n = p^n \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$):

- $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} h(z) d\mu(z) = \int_{U_1} h(p^{-1}z) d\mu(z)$;
- $\lambda \int_{U_n} h(z) d\mu(z) = \int_{U_{n+1}} h(p^{-1}z) d\mu(z) + \int_{U_{n-1}} h(pz) d\mu(z)$.

Eventually

$$m_n(\mu) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda p^n + p^{2n+k-1}} m_n^\times.$$

Theorem

Suppose $T_{p,k}\mu = \lambda\mu$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. If $1 \leq n < n'$ with $n' \equiv n \pmod{p^{r-1}(p-1)}$ then

$$m_{n'} \equiv m_n \pmod{p^s \mathbb{Z}_p}$$

where

$$s = \begin{cases} \min\{r, t+n, 2n+k-1\} & \text{se } \lambda \in p^t \mathbb{Z}_p^\times, \\ \min\{r, 2n+k-1\} & \text{se } \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note: the moments $m_n(\mu_f)$ can be computed applying certain non-holomorphic or p -adic differential operators to f and evaluating the result at the relevant CM point.