

Drinfeld cusp forms of prime level: structure and slopes

Maria Valentino
joint with A. Bandini

4th Number Theory Meeting - Torino
Università di Torino, 24-25/10/2019

Classical modular forms

$N, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime

$S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ cusp forms of level N and weight k

Hecke operators \mathbf{T}_p , $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $p \nmid N$

Atkin-Lehner operator \mathbf{U}_p if $p|N$.

Fourier expansion $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n$, $a_n(f) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Fix $p \nmid N$, $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$ eigenform, then
 $\mathbf{U}_p f = a_p(f)f$.

The *p-slope* of f is $v_p(a_p(f))$.

We have $S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$
 via maps

$$\delta_1, \delta_p : S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$$

$$\delta_1(f)(z) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_p(f)(z) = f(pz).$$

Oldforms: all cusp forms generated by $\text{Im}(\delta_1)$
 and $\text{Im}(\delta_p)$.

Newforms: orthogonal complement of old-
 forms w.r.t. the Petersson inner product.

Drinfeld modular forms

Classical modular forms

$N, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime

$S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ cusp forms of level N and weight k

Hecke operators $\mathbf{T}_p, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $p \nmid N$

Atkin-Lehner operator \mathbf{U}_p if $p|N$.

Fourier expansion $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n, a_n(f) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Fix $p \nmid N, f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$ eigenform, then
 $\mathbf{U}_p f = a_p(f)f$.

The *p-slope* of f is $v_p(a_p(f))$.

We have $S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$
 via maps

$$\delta_1, \delta_p : S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$$

$$\delta_1(f)(z) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_p(f)(z) = f(pz).$$

Oldforms: all cusp forms generated by $Im(\delta_1)$
 and $Im(\delta_p)$.

Newforms: orthogonal complement of old-
 forms w.r.t. the Petersson inner product.

Drinfeld modular forms

\mathbb{Q}	\leftrightarrow	$K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$
\mathbb{Z}	\leftrightarrow	$\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$
\mathbb{C}	\leftrightarrow	$\mathbb{C}_\infty = \widehat{K}_\infty, \infty = \frac{1}{t}$
$SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$	\leftrightarrow	$GL_2(\mathcal{O})$
\mathcal{H}	\leftrightarrow	$\Omega := \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_\infty) - \mathbb{P}^1(K_\infty)$
$\overline{\mathcal{H}}_\Gamma$	\leftrightarrow	$\overline{\Omega}_\Gamma := \Omega \cup \{\text{cusps, i.e. } \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(K)\}$

Classical modular forms

$N, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime

$S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ cusp forms of level N and weight k

Hecke operators $\mathbf{T}_p, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $p \nmid N$

Atkin-Lehner operator \mathbf{U}_p if $p|N$.

Fourier expansion $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n, a_n(f) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Fix $p \nmid N, f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$ eigenform, then
 $\mathbf{U}_p f = a_p(f)f$.

The *p-slope* of f is $v_p(a_p(f))$.

We have $S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$
 via maps

$$\delta_1, \delta_p : S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$$

$$\delta_1(f)(z) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_p(f)(z) = f(pz).$$

Oldforms: all cusp forms generated by $Im(\delta_1)$
 and $Im(\delta_p)$.

Newforms: orthogonal complement of old-
 forms w.r.t. the Petersson inner product.

Drinfeld modular forms

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Q} & \leftrightarrow K = \mathbb{F}_q(t) \\ \mathbb{Z} & \leftrightarrow \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}_q[t] \\ \mathbb{C} & \leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty = \widehat{K}_\infty, \infty = \frac{1}{t} \\ SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) & \leftrightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{O}) \\ \mathcal{H} & \leftrightarrow \Omega := \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_\infty) - \mathbb{P}^1(K_\infty) \\ \overline{\mathcal{H}}_\Gamma & \leftrightarrow \overline{\Omega}_\Gamma := \Omega \cup \{\text{cusps, i.e. } \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(K)\} \end{array}$$

For $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(K_\infty), k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$, we define

$$(\varphi|_{k,m}\gamma)(z) := \varphi(\gamma z)(\det \gamma)^m (cz + d)^{-k}.$$

Let Γ be any congruence subgroup of $GL_2(\mathcal{O})$.

Classical modular forms

$N, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime

$S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ cusp forms of level N and weight k

Hecke operators $\mathbf{T}_p, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $p \nmid N$

Atkin-Lehner operator \mathbf{U}_p if $p|N$.

Fourier expansion $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n, a_n(f) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Fix $p \nmid N, f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$ eigenform, then $\mathbf{U}_p f = a_p(f)f$.

The *p-slope* of f is $v_p(a_p(f))$.

We have $S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$
via maps

$$\delta_1, \delta_p : S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$$

$$\delta_1(f)(z) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_p(f)(z) = f(pz).$$

Oldforms: all cusp forms generated by $Im(\delta_1)$ and $Im(\delta_p)$.

Newforms: orthogonal complement of old-forms w.r.t. the Petersson inner product.

Drinfeld modular forms

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Q} & \leftrightarrow K = \mathbb{F}_q(t) \\ \mathbb{Z} & \leftrightarrow \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}_q[t] \\ \mathbb{C} & \leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty = \widehat{K}_\infty, \infty = \frac{1}{t} \\ SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) & \leftrightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{O}) \\ \mathcal{H} & \leftrightarrow \Omega := \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_\infty) - \mathbb{P}^1(K_\infty) \\ \overline{\mathcal{H}}_\Gamma & \leftrightarrow \overline{\Omega}_\Gamma := \Omega \cup \{\text{cusps, i.e. } \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(K)\} \end{array}$$

For $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(K_\infty), k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$, we define

$$(\varphi|_{k,m}\gamma)(z) := \varphi(\gamma z)(\det \gamma)^m (cz + d)^{-k}.$$

Let Γ be any congruence subgroup of $GL_2(\mathcal{O})$.

Definition

A rigid analytic function $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ is called a *Drinfeld modular form of weight k and type m for Γ* if

- φ is holomorphic on Ω and at all cusps;
- $(\varphi|_{k,m}\gamma)(z) = \varphi(z) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$

A Drinfeld modular form φ is called a *cusp form* if it vanishes at all cusps.

Classical modular forms

$N, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime

$S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ cusp forms of level N and weight k

Hecke operators \mathbf{T}_p , $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $p \nmid N$

Atkin-Lehner operator \mathbf{U}_p if $p|N$.

Fourier expansion $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n$, $a_n(f) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Fix $p \nmid N$, $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$ eigenform, then
 $\mathbf{U}_p f = a_p(f)f$.

The *p-slope* of f is $v_p(a_p(f))$.

We have $S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$
 via maps

$$\delta_1, \delta_p : S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$$

$$\delta_1(f)(z) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_p(f)(z) = f(pz).$$

Oldforms: all cusp forms generated by $\text{Im}(\delta_1)$
 and $\text{Im}(\delta_p)$.

Newforms: orthogonal complement of old-
 forms w.r.t. the Petersson inner product.

Drinfeld modular forms

Classical modular forms

$N, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime

$S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ cusp forms of level N and weight k

Hecke operators $\mathbf{T}_p, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $p \nmid N$

Atkin-Lehner operator \mathbf{U}_p if $p|N$.

Fourier expansion $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n, a_n(f) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Fix $p \nmid N, f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$ eigenform, then
 $\mathbf{U}_p f = a_p(f)f$.

The *p-slope* of f is $v_p(a_p(f))$.

We have $S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$
 via maps

$$\delta_1, \delta_p : S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$$

$$\delta_1(f)(z) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_p(f)(z) = f(pz).$$

Oldforms: all cusp forms generated by $Im(\delta_1)$
 and $Im(\delta_p)$.

Newforms: orthogonal complement of old-forms w.r.t. the Petersson inner product.

Drinfeld modular forms

Let \mathfrak{m} be an ideal in $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$.

Drinfeld cusp forms: $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}))$ finite
 dim. \mathbb{C}_∞ -vector space;

Classical modular forms

$N, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime

$S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ cusp forms of level N and weight k

Hecke operators $\mathbf{T}_p, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $p \nmid N$

Atkin-Lehner operator \mathbf{U}_p if $p|N$.

Fourier expansion $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n, a_n(f) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Fix $p \nmid N, f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$ eigenform, then $\mathbf{U}_p f = a_p(f)f$.

The *p-slope* of f is $v_p(a_p(f))$.

We have $S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$
via maps

$$\delta_1, \delta_p : S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$$

$$\delta_1(f)(z) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_p(f)(z) = f(pz).$$

Oldforms: all cusp forms generated by $Im(\delta_1)$ and $Im(\delta_p)$.

Newforms: orthogonal complement of oldforms w.r.t. the Petersson inner product.

Drinfeld modular forms

Let \mathfrak{m} be an ideal in $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$.

Drinfeld cusp forms: $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}))$ finite dim. \mathbb{C}_∞ -vector space;

Fix $\mathfrak{p} = (P_d)$ with $P_d \in \mathcal{O}$ prime of degree d and assume $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{m}$

Hecke operators \mathbf{T}_p if $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{m}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_p(\varphi) := & P_d^{k-m}(\varphi|_{k,m} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} P_d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right))(z) + \\ & + P_d^{k-m} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{O} \\ \deg Q < d}} (\varphi|_{k,m} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & Q \\ 0 & P_d \end{smallmatrix} \right))(z) \end{aligned}$$

Classical modular forms

$N, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime

$S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ cusp forms of level N and weight k

Hecke operators $\mathbf{T}_p, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $p \nmid N$

Atkin-Lehner operator \mathbf{U}_p if $p|N$.

Fourier expansion $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n, a_n(f) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Fix $p \nmid N, f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$ eigenform, then $\mathbf{U}_p f = a_p(f)f$.

The *p-slope* of f is $v_p(a_p(f))$.

We have $S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$
via maps

$$\delta_1, \delta_p : S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$$

$$\delta_1(f)(z) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_p(f)(z) = f(pz).$$

Oldforms: all cusp forms generated by $Im(\delta_1)$
and $Im(\delta_p)$.

Newforms: orthogonal complement of oldforms w.r.t. the Petersson inner product.

Drinfeld modular forms

Let \mathfrak{m} be an ideal in $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$.

Drinfeld cusp forms: $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}))$ finite
dim. \mathbb{C}_∞ -vector space;

Fix $\mathfrak{p} = (P_d)$ with $P_d \in \mathcal{O}$ prime of degree d and assume $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{m}$

Hecke operators \mathbf{T}_p if $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{m}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_p(\varphi) := & P_d^{k-m} (\varphi|_{k,m} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} P_d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right))(z) + \\ & + P_d^{k-m} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{O} \\ \deg Q < d}} (\varphi|_{k,m} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & Q \\ 0 & P_d \end{smallmatrix} \right))(z) \end{aligned}$$

Atkin-Lehner operator \mathbf{U}_p if the level is $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{m}$

$$\mathbf{U}_p(\varphi) := P_d^{k-m} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{O} \\ \deg Q < d}} (\varphi|_{k,m} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & Q \\ 0 & P_d \end{smallmatrix} \right))(z)$$

Classical modular forms

$N, k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime

$S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ cusp forms of level N and weight k

Hecke operators $\mathbf{T}_p, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $p \nmid N$

Atkin-Lehner operator \mathbf{U}_p if $p|N$.

Fourier expansion $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n(f)q^n, a_n(f) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Fix $p \nmid N, f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$ eigenform, then $\mathbf{U}_p f = a_p(f)f$.

The *p-slope* of f is $v_p(a_p(f))$.

We have $S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$
via maps

$$\delta_1, \delta_p : S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \hookrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(pN))$$

$$\delta_1(f)(z) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_p(f)(z) = f(pz).$$

Oldforms: all cusp forms generated by $Im(\delta_1)$ and $Im(\delta_p)$.

Newforms: orthogonal complement of old-forms w.r.t. the Petersson inner product.

Drinfeld modular forms

Let \mathfrak{m} be an ideal in $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}_q[t]$.

Drinfeld cusp forms: $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}))$ finite dim. \mathbb{C}_∞ -vector space;

Fix $\mathfrak{p} = (P_d)$ with $P_d \in \mathcal{O}$ prime of degree d and assume $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{m}$

Hecke operators \mathbf{T}_p if $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{m}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_p(\varphi) := & P_d^{k-m} (\varphi|_{k,m} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} P_d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right))(z) + \\ & + P_d^{k-m} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{O} \\ \deg Q < d}} (\varphi|_{k,m} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & Q \\ 0 & P_d \end{smallmatrix} \right))(z) \end{aligned}$$

Atkin-Lehner operator \mathbf{U}_p if the level is $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{m}$

$$\mathbf{U}_p(\varphi) := P_d^{k-m} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{O} \\ \deg Q < d}} (\varphi|_{k,m} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & Q \\ 0 & P_d \end{smallmatrix} \right))(z)$$

Fourier expansion (technical): depends on the Carlitz exponential e_C with period $\bar{\pi}$

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(\varphi) \frac{1}{e_C(\bar{\pi}z)^n}, \quad a_n(\varphi) \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$$

Classical modular forms

Drinfeld modular forms

Classical modular forms

- Old eigenforms come in pairs and the p -slopes of a pair add up to $k - 1$.
Indeed, let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigenform, then \mathbf{U}_p acts on $\langle \delta_1(f), \delta_p(f) \rangle$ with characteristic polynomial

$$X^2 - a_p(f)X - p^{k-1};$$

Drinfeld modular forms

Classical modular forms

- Old eigenforms come in pairs and the p -slopes of a pair add up to $k - 1$.
Indeed, let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigenform, then U_p acts on $\langle \delta_1(f), \delta_p(f) \rangle$ with characteristic polynomial

$$X^2 - a_p(f)X - p^{k-1};$$

- The slope of a new eigenform is $k/2 - 1$;

Drinfeld modular forms

Classical modular forms

- Old eigenforms come in pairs and the p -slopes of a pair add up to $k - 1$.
Indeed, let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigenform, then \mathbf{U}_p acts on $\langle \delta_1(f), \delta_p(f) \rangle$ with characteristic polynomial

$$X^2 - a_p(f)X - p^{k-1};$$

- The slope of a new eigenform is $k/2 - 1$;
- Diagonalizability problems.

Drinfeld modular forms

Classical modular forms

- Old eigenforms come in pairs and the p -slopes of a pair add up to $k - 1$.
Indeed, let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigenform, then U_p acts on $\langle \delta_1(f), \delta_p(f) \rangle$ with characteristic polynomial

$$X^2 - a_p(f)X - p^{k-1};$$

- The slope of a new eigenform is $k/2 - 1$;
- Diagonalizability problems.
- Existence of p -adic families of modular forms (e.g. Serre, Hida, Coleman).

Drinfeld modular forms

Classical modular forms

- Old eigenforms come in pairs and the p -slopes of a pair add up to $k - 1$.
Indeed, let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigenform, then \mathbf{U}_p acts on $\langle \delta_1(f), \delta_p(f) \rangle$ with characteristic polynomial

$$X^2 - a_p(f)X - p^{k-1};$$

- The slope of a new eigenform is $k/2 - 1$;
- Diagonalizability problems.
- Existence of p -adic families of modular forms (e.g. Serre, Hida, Coleman).
- Maeda's conjecture*: The operator \mathbf{T}_p acting on $S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ has characteristic polynomial $P_{p,k}(X) = \prod(X - a_p(f))$, where f runs over a basis of eigenforms, which is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ and has full Galois group over \mathbb{Q} for every prime p .

Drinfeld modular forms

Classical modular forms

- Old eigenforms come in pairs and the p -slopes of a pair add up to $k - 1$.
Indeed, let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigenform, then U_p acts on $\langle \delta_1(f), \delta_p(f) \rangle$ with characteristic polynomial

$$X^2 - a_p(f)X - p^{k-1};$$

- The slope of a new eigenform is $k/2 - 1$;
- Diagonalizability problems.
- Existence of p -adic families of modular forms (e.g. Serre, Hida, Coleman).
- Maeda's conjecture*: The operator T_p acting on $S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ has characteristic polynomial $P_{p,k}(X) = \prod(X - a_p(f))$, where f runs over a basis of eigenforms, which is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ and has full Galois group over \mathbb{Q} for every prime p .

Drinfeld modular forms

Problems:

- No more correspondance between eigenvalues of eigenforms and coefficients of the associated Fouries series.

Classical modular forms

- Old eigenforms come in pairs and the p -slopes of a pair add up to $k - 1$.
Indeed, let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an eigenform, then U_p acts on $\langle \delta_1(f), \delta_p(f) \rangle$ with characteristic polynomial

$$X^2 - a_p(f)X - p^{k-1};$$

- The slope of a new eigenform is $k/2 - 1$;
- Diagonalizability problems.
- Existence of p -adic families of modular forms (e.g. Serre, Hida, Coleman).
- Maeda's conjecture*: The operator T_p acting on $S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ has characteristic polynomial $P_{p,k}(X) = \prod(X - a_p(f))$, where f runs over a basis of eigenforms, which is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ and has full Galois group over \mathbb{Q} for every prime p .

Drinfeld modular forms

Problems:

- No more correspondance between eigenvalues of eigenforms and coefficients of the associated Fourier series.
- Analogue of Petersson inner product is not available.

Degeneracy Maps

$$\delta_1, \delta_p : S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m})) \rightarrow S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}p))$$

$$\delta_1(\varphi) := \varphi$$

$$\delta_p(\varphi) := (\varphi|_{k,m} \begin{pmatrix} P_d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})(z), \text{ i.e., } (\delta_p(\varphi))(z) = P_d^m \varphi(P_d z).$$

Definition

The space of *oldforms of level \mathfrak{m}* , denoted by $S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}))$, is the subspace of $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}))$ generated by the set

$$\{(\delta_1, \delta_p)(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) : (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}/p))^2, \text{ for all } p|\mathfrak{m}.\}$$

Degeneracy Maps

$$\delta_1, \delta_p : S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m})) \rightarrow S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}p))$$

$$\delta_1(\varphi) := \varphi$$

$$\delta_p(\varphi) := (\varphi|_{k,m} \left(\begin{array}{cc} P_d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right))(z), \text{ i.e., } (\delta_p(\varphi))(z) = P_d^m \varphi(P_d z).$$

Definition

The space of *oldforms of level \mathfrak{m}* , denoted by $S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}))$, is the subspace of $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}))$ generated by the set

$$\{(\delta_1, \delta_p)(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) : (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}/p))^2, \text{ for all } p|\mathfrak{m}.\}$$

Theorem (Bandini-V.)

Assume that $p \nmid \mathfrak{m}$, then the map

$$(\delta_1, \delta_p) : S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}))^2 \rightarrow S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}p))^2$$

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \mapsto \delta_1 \varphi_1 + \delta_p \varphi_2$$

is injective.

Degeneracy Maps

$$\delta_1, \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} : S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m})) \rightarrow S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{p}))$$

$$\delta_1(\varphi) := \varphi$$

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi) := (\varphi|_{k,m} \left(\begin{array}{cc} P_d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right))(z), \text{ i.e., } (\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi))(z) = P_d^m \varphi(P_d z).$$

Definition

The space of *oldforms of level \mathfrak{m}* , denoted by $S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}))$, is the subspace of $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}))$ generated by the set

$$\{(\delta_1, \delta_{\mathfrak{p}})(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) : (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{p}))^2, \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{m}.\}$$

Theorem (Bandini-V.)

Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{m}$, then the map

$$(\delta_1, \delta_{\mathfrak{p}}) : S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}))^2 \rightarrow S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{p}))$$

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \mapsto \delta_1 \varphi_1 + \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \varphi_2$$

is injective. Moreover, we have an equality of sets

$$\{\text{Eigenvalues of } \mathbf{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{p}))}\} = \{\text{Eigenvalues of } \mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Now we focus on the case $\mathfrak{m} = (1)$.

System of representatives for $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}) \backslash GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ given by

$$R = \left\{ Id, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & Q \end{pmatrix} \text{ s.t. } Q \in \mathcal{O} \text{ and } \deg Q < d \right\}.$$

Definition

We have the following maps defined on $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$:

- the *Fricke involution*, which preserves the space $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$, is represented by the matrix

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ P_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and defined by $\varphi^{Fr} = (\varphi|_{k,m}\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}})$;

- the *trace map* is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Tr : S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p})) &\rightarrow S_{k,m}^1(GL_2(\mathcal{O})) \\ \varphi &\mapsto \sum_{\gamma \in R} (\varphi|_{k,m}\gamma)(z); \end{aligned}$$

- the *twisted trace map* is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Tr' : S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p})) &\rightarrow S_{k,m}^1(GL_2(\mathcal{O})) \\ \varphi &\mapsto Tr(\varphi^{Fr}). \end{aligned}$$

Definition

The space of *newforms of level \mathfrak{p}* , denoted by $S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ is given by $\text{Ker}(Tr) \cap \text{Ker}(Tr')$.

Definition

The space of *newforms of level \mathfrak{p}* , denoted by $S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ is given by $\text{Ker}(Tr) \cap \text{Ker}(Tr')$.

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(GL_2(\mathcal{O}))$ be such that $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi = \lambda\varphi$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Then one can check that

$$\psi_1 := \delta_1\varphi - \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda}\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(Tr)$$

$$\psi_2 := \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda}\delta_1\varphi - P_d^{k-2m}\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(Tr')$$

Definition

The space of *newforms of level \mathfrak{p}* , denoted by $S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ is given by $\text{Ker}(Tr) \cap \text{Ker}(Tr')$.

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(GL_2(\mathcal{O}))$ be such that $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi = \lambda\varphi$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Then one can check that

$$\psi_1 := \delta_1\varphi - \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda}\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(Tr)$$

$$\psi_2 := \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda}\delta_1\varphi - P_d^{k-2m}\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(Tr')$$

Theorem (Bandini-V.)

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ be a new $\mathbf{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenform of eigenvalue λ , then $\lambda = \pm P_d^{k/2}$.

Definition

The space of *newforms of level \mathfrak{p}* , denoted by $S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ is given by $\text{Ker}(Tr) \cap \text{Ker}(Tr')$.

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(GL_2(\mathcal{O}))$ be such that $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi = \lambda\varphi$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Then one can check that

$$\psi_1 := \delta_1\varphi - \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda}\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(Tr)$$

$$\psi_2 := \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda}\delta_1\varphi - P_d^{k-2m}\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(Tr')$$

Theorem (Bandini-V.)

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ be a new $\mathbf{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenform of eigenvalue λ , then $\lambda = \pm P_d^{k/2}$.

We have a direct sum decomposition $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p})) = S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p})) \oplus S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ if and only if the map $\mathcal{D} := \text{Id} - P_d^{k-2m}(Tr')^2$ is bijective.

Definition

The space of *newforms of level \mathfrak{p}* , denoted by $S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ is given by $\text{Ker}(Tr) \cap \text{Ker}(Tr')$.

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(GL_2(\mathcal{O}))$ be such that $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi = \lambda\varphi$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Then one can check that

$$\psi_1 := \delta_1\varphi - \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda} \delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(Tr)$$

$$\psi_2 := \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda} \delta_1\varphi - P_d^{k-2m} \delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(Tr')$$

Theorem (Bandini-V.)

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ be a new $\mathbf{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenform of eigenvalue λ , then $\lambda = \pm P_d^{k/2}$.

We have a direct sum decomposition $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p})) = S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p})) \oplus S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ if and only if the map $\mathcal{D} := \text{Id} - P_d^{k-2m}(Tr')^2$ is bijective.

Conjectures (Special case $P_1 = t$)

- $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(t)) = S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(t)) \oplus S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(t));$

Definition

The space of *newforms of level \mathfrak{p}* , denoted by $S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ is given by $\text{Ker}(\text{Tr}) \cap \text{Ker}(\text{Tr}')$.

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}))$ be such that $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi = \lambda\varphi$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Then one can check that

$$\psi_1 := \delta_1\varphi - \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda}\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(\text{Tr})$$

$$\psi_2 := \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda}\delta_1\varphi - P_d^{k-2m}\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(\text{Tr}')$$

Theorem (Bandini-V.)

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ be a new $\mathbf{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenform of eigenvalue λ , then $\lambda = \pm P_d^{k/2}$.

We have a direct sum decomposition $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p})) = S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p})) \oplus S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ if and only if the map $\mathcal{D} := \text{Id} - P_d^{k-2m}(\text{Tr}')^2$ is bijective.

Conjectures (Special case $P_1 = t$)

- $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(t)) = S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(t)) \oplus S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(t));$
- \mathbf{U}_t is diagonalizable $\iff q$ is odd or q is even and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(t)) \leq 1;$

Definition

The space of *newforms of level \mathfrak{p}* , denoted by $S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ is given by $\text{Ker}(Tr) \cap \text{Ker}(Tr')$.

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(GL_2(\mathcal{O}))$ be such that $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi = \lambda\varphi$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Then one can check that

$$\psi_1 := \delta_1\varphi - \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda}\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(Tr)$$

$$\psi_2 := \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda}\delta_1\varphi - P_d^{k-2m}\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(Tr')$$

Theorem (Bandini-V.)

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ be a new $\mathbf{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenform of eigenvalue λ , then $\lambda = \pm P_d^{k/2}$.

We have a direct sum decomposition $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p})) = S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p})) \oplus S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ if and only if the map $\mathcal{D} := \text{Id} - P_d^{k-2m}(Tr')^2$ is bijective.

Conjectures (Special case $P_1 = t$)

- $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(t)) = S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(t)) \oplus S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(t));$
- \mathbf{U}_t is diagonalizable $\iff q$ is odd or q is even and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(t)) \leq 1;$
- \mathbf{T}_t is injective (in any positive characteristic);

Definition

The space of *newforms of level \mathfrak{p}* , denoted by $S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ is given by $\text{Ker}(Tr) \cap \text{Ker}(Tr')$.

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(GL_2(\mathcal{O}))$ be such that $\mathbf{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi = \lambda\varphi$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Then one can check that

$$\psi_1 := \delta_1\varphi - \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda}\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(Tr)$$

$$\psi_2 := \frac{P_d^{k-m}}{\lambda}\delta_1\varphi - P_d^{k-2m}\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}\varphi \in \text{Ker}(Tr')$$

Theorem (Bandini-V.)

Let $\varphi \in S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ be a new $\mathbf{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenform of eigenvalue λ , then $\lambda = \pm P_d^{k/2}$.

We have a direct sum decomposition $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p})) = S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p})) \oplus S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{p}))$ if and only if the map $\mathcal{D} := \text{Id} - P_d^{k-2m}(Tr')^2$ is bijective.

Conjectures (Special case $P_1 = t$)

- $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(t)) = S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(t)) \oplus S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(t))$;
- \mathbf{U}_t is diagonalizable $\iff q$ is odd or q is even and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(t)) \leq 1$;
- \mathbf{T}_t is injective (in any positive characteristic);

There exists a basis for $S_k^1(\Gamma_1(t))$ (using combinatorial techniques) provided by

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{c}_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq k-2.\}$$

The action of U_t on this basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} U_t(\mathbf{c}_j) = & -(-t)^{j+1} \binom{k-2-j}{j} \mathbf{c}_j - t^{j+1} \sum_{h \neq 0} \left[\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{-h(q-1)} \right. \\ & \left. + (-1)^{j+1} \binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{j} \right] \mathbf{c}_{j+h(q-1)} \end{aligned}$$

There exists a basis for $S_k^1(\Gamma_1(t))$ (using combinatorial techniques) provided by

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{c}_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq k-2.\}$$

The action of \mathbf{U}_t on this basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_t(\mathbf{c}_j) = & -(-t)^{j+1} \binom{k-2-j}{j} \mathbf{c}_j - t^{j+1} \sum_{h \neq 0} \left[\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{-h(q-1)} \right. \\ & \left. + (-1)^{j+1} \binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{j} \right] \mathbf{c}_{j+h(q-1)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $C_j = \langle \mathbf{c}_s : s \equiv j \pmod{q-1} \rangle$ with $0 \leq j \leq q-2$, then the C_j are stable for the action of \mathbf{U}_t . Reordering the basis we have a block matrix which is diagonalizable \iff each block is.

There exists a basis for $S_k^1(\Gamma_1(t))$ (using combinatorial techniques) provided by

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{c}_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq k-2.\}$$

The action of \mathbf{U}_t on this basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_t(\mathbf{c}_j) = & -(-t)^{j+1} \binom{k-2-j}{j} \mathbf{c}_j - t^{j+1} \sum_{h \neq 0} \left[\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{-h(q-1)} \right. \\ & \left. + (-1)^{j+1} \binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{j} \right] \mathbf{c}_{j+h(q-1)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $C_j = \langle \mathbf{c}_s : s \equiv j \pmod{q-1} \rangle$ with $0 \leq j \leq q-2$, then the C_j are stable for the action of \mathbf{U}_t . Reordering the basis we have a block matrix which is diagonalizable \iff each block is.

Blocks arising from $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(t))$: there is a natural inclusion $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(t)) \hookrightarrow S_k^1(\Gamma_1(t))$ and the subspaces arising from $\Gamma_0(t)$ are those for $k \equiv 2(j+1) \pmod{q-1}$.

There exists a basis for $S_k^1(\Gamma_1(t))$ (using combinatorial techniques) provided by

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{c}_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq k-2.\}$$

The action of U_t on this basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} U_t(\mathbf{c}_j) = & -(-t)^{j+1} \binom{k-2-j}{j} \mathbf{c}_j - t^{j+1} \sum_{h \neq 0} \left[\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{-h(q-1)} \right. \\ & \left. + (-1)^{j+1} \binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{j} \right] \mathbf{c}_{j+h(q-1)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $C_j = \langle \mathbf{c}_s : s \equiv j \pmod{q-1} \rangle$ with $0 \leq j \leq q-2$, then the C_j are stable for the action of U_t . Reordering the basis we have a block matrix which is diagonalizable \iff each block is.

Blocks arising from $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(t))$: there is a natural inclusion $S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(t)) \hookrightarrow S_k^1(\Gamma_1(t))$ and the subspaces arising from $\Gamma_0(t)$ are those for $k \equiv 2(j+1) \pmod{q-1}$.

The associated coefficient matrix (i.e. with entries in \mathbb{F}_p)

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & \cdots & m_{1,\frac{n}{2}} & (-1)^{j+1} m_{1,\frac{n}{2}} & \cdots & (-1)^{j+1} m_{1,2} & (-1)^{j+1} (m_{1,1}-1) \\ m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} & \cdots & m_{2,\frac{n}{2}} & (-1)^{j+1} m_{2,\frac{n}{2}} & \cdots & (-1)^{j+1} (m_{2,2}-1) & (-1)^{j+1} m_{2,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{\frac{n}{2},1} & m_{\frac{n}{2},2} & \cdots & m_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}} & (-1)^{j+1} (m_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}-1) & \cdots & (-1)^{j+1} m_{\frac{n}{2},2} & (-1)^{j+1} m_{\frac{n}{2},1} \\ m_{\frac{n}{2}+1,1} & m_{\frac{n}{2}+1,2} & \cdots & (-1)^j & 0 & \cdots & (-1)^{j+1} m_{\frac{n}{2}+1,2} & (-1)^{j+1} m_{\frac{n}{2}+1,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{n-1,1} & (-1)^j & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & (-1)^{j+1} m_{n-1,1} \\ (-1)^j & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The dimension n comes from the equality $k = 2(j+1) + (n-1)(q-1)$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-1)^{j+1} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-1)^{j+1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-t)^{s_n} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-t)^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} t^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & t^{s_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we set $s_i = j + 1 + (i - 1)(q - 1)$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-1)^{j+1} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-1)^{j+1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-t)^{s_n} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-t)^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} t^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & t^{s_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we set $s_i = j + 1 + (i - 1)(q - 1)$.

$$\mathbf{U}_t \mapsto MD$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-1)^{j+1} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-1)^{j+1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-t)^{s_n} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-t)^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} t^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & t^{s_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we set $s_i = j + 1 + (i - 1)(q - 1)$.

$$\mathbf{U}_t \mapsto MD \quad \text{Fricke} \mapsto t^{m-k} F \quad \text{Trace} \mapsto MA + I$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-1)^{j+1} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-1)^{j+1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-t)^{s_n} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-t)^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} t^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & t^{s_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we set $s_i = j + 1 + (i - 1)(q - 1)$.

$$U_t \mapsto MD \quad \text{Fricke} \mapsto t^{m-k} F \quad \text{Trace} \mapsto MA + I$$

$$\text{Twisted trace} \mapsto TF = t^{m-k} (MD + F) \quad \text{Im}(\delta_1) = \text{Ker}(MA) \quad \text{Im}(\delta_t) = \text{Ker}(MD)$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-1)^{j+1} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-1)^{j+1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-t)^{s_n} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-t)^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} t^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & t^{s_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we set $s_i = j + 1 + (i - 1)(q - 1)$.

$$U_t \mapsto MD \quad \text{Fricke} \mapsto t^{m-k} F \quad \text{Trace} \mapsto MA + I$$

$$\text{Twisted trace} \mapsto TF = t^{m-k}(MD + F) \quad \text{Im}(\delta_1) = \text{Ker}(MA) \quad \text{Im}(\delta_t) = \text{Ker}(MD)$$

Theorem (Bandini - V.)

$$S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(t)) = S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(t)) \oplus S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(t)) \iff I - t^{-k}(TF)^2 \text{ is invertible.}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-1)^{j+1} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-1)^{j+1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-t)^{s_n} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-t)^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} t^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & t^{s_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we set $s_i = j + 1 + (i - 1)(q - 1)$.

$$U_t \mapsto MD \quad \text{Fricke} \mapsto t^{m-k} F \quad \text{Trace} \mapsto MA + I$$

$$\text{Twisted trace} \mapsto TF = t^{m-k} (MD + F) \quad \text{Im}(\delta_1) = \text{Ker}(MA) \quad \text{Im}(\delta_t) = \text{Ker}(MD)$$

Theorem (Bandini - V.)

$$S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(t)) = S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(t)) \oplus S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(t)) \iff I - t^{-k}(TF)^2 \text{ is invertible.}$$

Theorem (Bandini - V.)

If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}\infty} S_{k,m}^1(GL_2(\mathcal{O})) \leq 1$, then all conjectures hold.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-1)^{j+1} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-1)^{j+1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & (-t)^{s_n} \\ & \ddots & \\ (-t)^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} t^{s_1} & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & t^{s_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we set $s_i = j + 1 + (i - 1)(q - 1)$.

$$\mathbf{U}_t \mapsto MD \quad \text{Fricke} \mapsto t^{m-k} F \quad \text{Trace} \mapsto MA + I$$

$$\text{Twisted trace} \mapsto TF = t^{m-k} (MD + F) \quad \text{Im}(\delta_1) = \text{Ker}(MA) \quad \text{Im}(\delta_t) = \text{Ker}(MD)$$

Theorem (Bandini - V.)

$$S_{k,m}^1(\Gamma_0(t)) = S_{k,m}^{1,old}(\Gamma_0(t)) \oplus S_{k,m}^{1,new}(\Gamma_0(t)) \iff I - t^{-k}(TF)^2 \text{ is invertible.}$$

Theorem (Bandini - V.)

If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}_\infty} S_{k,m}^1(GL_2(\mathcal{O})) \leq 1$, then all conjectures hold.

Further conjectures

We also formulated conjectures on the distribution of t -slopes that can be related to the existence of families of Drinfeld modular forms (see also the work of S. Hattori).

1. A. BANDINI, M. VALENTINO *On the Atkin U_t operator for $\Gamma_1(t)$ -invariant Drinfeld cusp forms*, Int. J. Number Theory, **14** No. 10 (2018), 2599-2616.
2. A. BANDINI, M. VALENTINO *On the Atkin U_t operator for $\Gamma_0(t)$ -invariant Drinfeld cusp forms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), no. 10, 4171–4187.
3. A. BANDINI, M. VALENTINO *On the structure and slopes of Drinfeld cusp forms*, accepted for publication in Exp. Math..
4. A. BANDINI, M. VALENTINO *On Drinfeld cusp forms of prime level*, arXiv:1908.09768 [math:NT] (2019).
5. S. HATTORI *Dimension variation of Gouvêa-Mazur type for Drinfeld cusp forms of level $\Gamma_1(t)$* , to appear in Int. Math. Res. Not.
6. S. HATTORI *\mathfrak{p} -adic continuous families of Drinfeld eigenforms of finite slope*, arXiv:1904.08618 [math.NT] (2019).

Thanks!