An effective Chebotarev theorem under GRH

Giuseppe Molteni Università di Milano

Torino 26–27 Ottobre 2017

Chebotarev theorem: a review

Let $\mathbb{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be a finite–Galois extension of number fields, with $G := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{K})$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$ be the corresponding rings of integral elements.

Fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ not ramifying in \mathbb{L} . For each prime ideal \mathfrak{P} dividing $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$, the Artin symbol $[\mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}]$ denotes the Frobenius automorphism corresponding to $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}$, i.e. the element in *G* such that

$$[{}^{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}_{\mathfrak{P}}](lpha) = lpha^{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{p}} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}} \quad orall lpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}.$$

The set $\{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}\\ \mathfrak{P}\end{bmatrix}: \mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}\}$ is a conjugation class in *G*, also denoted $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}\\ \mathfrak{p}\end{bmatrix}$.

Conjecture (Frobenius) For every conjugation class C, there are infinitely many \mathfrak{p} with $[{}^{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}]_{\mathfrak{p}} = C$; the Artin symbols equidistribute (in some sense) proportionally to |C|/|G|.

Theorem (Chebotarev) For every conjugation class C, the set

 $\{\mathfrak{p}: {\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K} \brack \mathfrak{p}} = C\}$ has Dirichlet density and it is = |C|/|G|.

Chebotarev's theorem (PNT-like) For every conjugation class C

$$|\{\mathfrak{p}: \lfloor^{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}_{\mathfrak{p}}] = C, \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{p} \le x\}| \sim \frac{|C|}{|G|} \frac{x}{\log x} \quad x \to \infty.$$

Chebotarev theorem: a review

Let $\mathbb{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be a finite–Galois extension of number fields, with $G := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{K})$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$ be the corresponding rings of integral elements.

Fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ not ramifying in \mathbb{L} . For each prime ideal \mathfrak{P} dividing $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$, the Artin symbol $[\mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}]$ denotes the Frobenius automorphism corresponding to $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}$, i.e. the element in *G* such that

$${\mathbb L}^{[\mathbb L/\mathbb K]}_{\mathfrak P}](lpha)=lpha^{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak p} \pmod{\mathfrak P} \quad orall lpha\in \mathcal O_{\mathbb L}.$$

The set $\{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}\\ \mathfrak{P}\end{bmatrix}: \mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}\}$ is a conjugation class in *G*, also denoted $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}\\ \mathfrak{p}\end{bmatrix}$.

Conjecture (Frobenius) For every conjugation class C, there are infinitely many \mathfrak{p} with $\binom{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}{\mathfrak{p}} = C$; the Artin symbols equidistribute (in some sense) proportionally to |C|/|G|.

Theorem (Chebotarev) For every conjugation class C, the set

 $\{\mathfrak{p}: [\overset{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}{\mathfrak{p}}] = C\}$ has Dirichlet density and it is = |C|/|G|.

Chebotarev's theorem (PNT-like) For every conjugation class C

$$|\{\mathfrak{p}\colon \lfloor^{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}_{\mathfrak{p}}] = C, \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{p} \leq x\}| \sim \frac{|C|}{|G|} \frac{x}{\log x} \quad x \to \infty$$

Chebotarev theorem: a review

Let $\mathbb{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be a finite–Galois extension of number fields, with $G := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{K})$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$ be the corresponding rings of integral elements.

Fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ not ramifying in \mathbb{L} . For each prime ideal \mathfrak{P} dividing $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$, the Artin symbol $[\mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}]$ denotes the Frobenius automorphism corresponding to $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}$, i.e. the element in *G* such that

$${\mathbb L}^{[\mathbb L/\mathbb K]}_{\mathfrak P}](lpha)=lpha^{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak p} \pmod{\mathfrak P} \quad orall lpha\in \mathcal O_{\mathbb L}.$$

The set $\{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}\\ \mathfrak{P}\end{bmatrix}: \mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{p}\}$ is a conjugation class in *G*, also denoted $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}\\ \mathfrak{p}\end{bmatrix}$.

Conjecture (Frobenius) For every conjugation class C, there are infinitely many \mathfrak{p} with $\binom{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}{\mathfrak{p}} = C$; the Artin symbols equidistribute (in some sense) proportionally to |C|/|G|.

Theorem (Chebotarev) For every conjugation class C, the set

 $\{\mathfrak{p}: \lfloor \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K} \\ \mathfrak{p} \end{bmatrix} = C\}$ has Dirichlet density and it is = |C|/|G|.

Chebotarev's theorem (PNT-like) For every conjugation class C

$$|\{\mathfrak{p}: \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K} \\ \mathfrak{p} \end{smallmatrix} \right] = C, \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{p} \le x\}| \sim \frac{|C|}{|G|} \frac{x}{\log x} \quad x \to \infty.$$

$$\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathsf{x}) := \sum_{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I} \leq \mathsf{x}} \epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K} \\ \mathfrak{I} \end{bmatrix}) \Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

Theorem (Lagarias-Odlyzko '76) (GRH) There exist absolute constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$\frac{|\mathsf{G}|}{|\mathsf{C}|}\psi_{\mathsf{C}}(x)-x| \leq \sqrt{x}(\mathsf{c}_1\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}\log x+\mathsf{c}_2n_{\mathbb{L}}\log^2 x).$$

Theorem (Oesterlé '79) (GRH) For $x \ge 1$

$$\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi_C(x) - x| \leq \sqrt{x} \Big((\frac{1}{\pi}\log x + 2)\log \Delta_{\mathbb{L}} + (\frac{1}{2\pi}\log^2 x + 2)n_{\mathbb{L}} \Big).$$

Theorem (Schoenfeld '76) (RH) For $x \ge 73$

$$|\psi(x) - x| \le \frac{1}{8\pi} \sqrt{x} \log^2 x.$$

$$\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi_C(x) - x| \le \sqrt{x}\Big(\big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\log x + 2\big)\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}} + \big(\frac{1}{8\pi}\log^2 x + 2\big)n_{\mathbb{L}}\Big).$$

$$\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathsf{x}) := \sum_{\mathsf{N}\mathfrak{I} \leq \mathsf{x}} \epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K} \\ \mathfrak{I} \end{bmatrix}) \mathsf{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

Theorem (Lagarias-Odlyzko '76) (GRH) There exist absolute constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi_{C}(x)-x|\leq \sqrt{x}(c_{1}\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}\log x+c_{2}n_{\mathbb{L}}\log^{2}x).$$

Theorem (Oesterlé '79) (GRH) For $x \ge 1$

$$|\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(x)-x| \leq \sqrt{x}\Big((\frac{1}{\pi}\log x+2)\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}+(\frac{1}{2\pi}\log^2 x+2)n_{\mathbb{L}}\Big).$$

Theorem (Schoenfeld '76) (RH) For $x \ge 73$

$$|\psi(x) - x| \le \frac{1}{8\pi} \sqrt{x} \log^2 x.$$

$$\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi_C(x) - x| \le \sqrt{x} \Big((\frac{1}{2\pi}\log x + 2)\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}} + (\frac{1}{8\pi}\log^2 x + 2)n_{\mathbb{L}} \Big).$$

$$\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathsf{x}) := \sum_{\mathsf{N}\mathfrak{I} \leq \mathsf{x}} \epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K} \\ \mathfrak{I} \end{bmatrix}) \mathsf{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

Theorem (Lagarias-Odlyzko '76) (GRH) There exist absolute constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi_{C}(x)-x|\leq \sqrt{x}(c_{1}\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}\log x+c_{2}n_{\mathbb{L}}\log^{2}x).$$

Theorem (Oesterlé '79) (GRH) For $x \ge 1$

$$|\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(x)-x| \leq \sqrt{x}\Big((\frac{1}{\pi}\log x+2)\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}+(\frac{1}{2\pi}\log^2 x+2)n_{\mathbb{L}}\Big).$$

Theorem (Schoenfeld '76) (RH) For $x \ge 73$

$$|\psi(x)-x| \leq \frac{1}{8\pi}\sqrt{x}\log^2 x.$$

$$\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi_C(x) - x| \le \sqrt{x} \Big(\Big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\log x + 2\Big)\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}} + \Big(\frac{1}{8\pi}\log^2 x + 2\Big)n_{\mathbb{L}} \Big).$$

$$\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathsf{x}) := \sum_{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I} \leq \mathsf{x}} \epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K} \\ \mathfrak{I} \end{bmatrix}) \Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

Theorem (Lagarias-Odlyzko '76) (GRH) There exist absolute constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi_{C}(x)-x|\leq \sqrt{x}(c_{1}\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}\log x+c_{2}n_{\mathbb{L}}\log^{2}x).$$

Theorem (Oesterlé '79) (GRH) For $x \ge 1$

$$|\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(x)-x| \leq \sqrt{x}\Big((\frac{1}{\pi}\log x+2)\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}+(\frac{1}{2\pi}\log^2 x+2)n_{\mathbb{L}}\Big).$$

Theorem (Schoenfeld '76) (RH) For $x \ge 73$

$$|\psi(x)-x| \leq \frac{1}{8\pi}\sqrt{x}\log^2 x.$$

$$|\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi_{C}(x)-x| \leq \sqrt{x}\Big((\frac{1}{2\pi}\log x+2)\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}+(\frac{1}{8\pi}\log^{2}x+2)n_{\mathbb{L}}\Big).$$

Some tools: Include the ramifying prime ideals and Artin/Hecke

$$\theta(C;\mathfrak{p}^m) := \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{a \in I} \epsilon_C(\tau^m a)$$

(*I* is the *inertia group* and τ is any of Frobenius automorphisms corresponding to $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}$).

$$\psi(C;x) := \sum_{N\mathfrak{I} \leq x} \theta(C;\mathfrak{I}) \Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

Write $\theta(C; \cdot)$ as linear combinations of irreducible characters for G:

$$\theta(C;\mathfrak{p}^m):=\frac{|C|}{|G|}\sum_{\phi\in\hat{G}}\bar{\phi}(g)\phi_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{p}^m)\qquad \phi_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{p}^m):=\frac{1}{|I|}\sum_{a\in I}\phi(\tau^m a)\qquad \text{any }g\in C.$$

$$\sum_{\Im} \theta(C; \Im) \Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\Im) (\mathrm{N}\Im)^{-s} = -\frac{|C|}{|G|} \sum_{\phi \in \hat{G}} \bar{\phi}(g) \frac{L'}{L}(s, \phi, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K})$$
(Artin *L*-functions)

Since C is fixed and $H := \langle g \rangle$ is cyclic, we have also

$$\theta(C;\mathfrak{p}^m):=\frac{|C|}{|G|}\sum_{\chi\in\hat{H}}\bar{\chi}(g)\chi_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{p}^m)\qquad \chi_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{p}^m):=\frac{1}{|I|}\sum_{a\in I}(\mathsf{Ind}_H^G)\chi(\tau^ma).$$

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{I}} \theta(C;\mathfrak{I}) \Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}) (\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I})^{-s} = -\frac{|C|}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{H}} \bar{\chi}(g) \frac{L'}{L}(s,\chi,\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E})$$
(Hecke *L*-functions)

where $\mathbb{E} := \mathbb{L}^H$ and each $L(s, \chi, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E})$ is abelian Artin, hence Hecke *L*-function (by class field theory).

$$\theta(C;\mathfrak{p}^m) := \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{a \in I} \epsilon_C(\tau^m a)$$

(I is the *inertia group* and τ is any of Frobenius automorphisms corresponding to $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}$).

$$\psi(\mathsf{C};\mathsf{x}) := \sum_{\mathsf{N}\mathfrak{I} \leq \mathsf{x}} \theta(\mathsf{C};\mathfrak{I}) \mathsf{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

Write $\theta(C; \cdot)$ as linear combinations of irreducible characters for G:

$$\theta(C;\mathfrak{p}^m) := \frac{|C|}{|G|} \sum_{\phi \in \widehat{G}} \overline{\phi}(g) \phi_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{p}^m) \qquad \phi_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{p}^m) := \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{a \in I} \phi(\tau^m a) \qquad \text{any } g \in C.$$

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{I}} \theta(C;\mathfrak{I})\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I})(\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I})^{-s} = -\frac{|C|}{|G|} \sum_{\phi \in \hat{G}} \bar{\phi}(g) \frac{L'}{L}(s,\phi,\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K})$$
(Artin L-functions)

Since C is fixed and $H := \langle g \rangle$ is cyclic, we have also

$$\theta(C;\mathfrak{p}^m):=\frac{|C|}{|G|}\sum_{\chi\in\hat{H}}\bar{\chi}(g)\chi_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{p}^m)\qquad \chi_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{p}^m):=\frac{1}{|I|}\sum_{a\in I}(\mathsf{Ind}_H^G)\chi(\tau^m a).$$

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{I}} \theta(C;\mathfrak{I}) \Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}) (\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I})^{-s} = -\frac{|C|}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{H}} \bar{\chi}(g) \frac{L'}{L}(s,\chi,\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E})$$
(Hecke *L*-functions)

where $\mathbb{E} := \mathbb{L}^H$ and each $L(s, \chi, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E})$ is abelian Artin, hence Hecke *L*-function (by class field theory).

$$\theta(C;\mathfrak{p}^m) := \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{a \in I} \epsilon_C(\tau^m a)$$

(I is the *inertia group* and τ is any of Frobenius automorphisms corresponding to $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}$).

$$\psi(\mathsf{C};\mathsf{x}) := \sum_{\mathsf{N}\mathfrak{I} \leq \mathsf{x}} \theta(\mathsf{C};\mathfrak{I}) \mathsf{\Lambda}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

Write $\theta(C; \cdot)$ as linear combinations of irreducible characters for G:

$$\theta(C;\mathfrak{p}^m):=\frac{|C|}{|G|}\sum_{\phi\in\hat{G}}\bar{\phi}(g)\phi_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{p}^m)\qquad \phi_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{p}^m):=\frac{1}{|I|}\sum_{a\in I}\phi(\tau^m a)\qquad \text{any }g\in C.$$

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{I}} \theta(C;\mathfrak{I})\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I})(\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I})^{-s} = -\frac{|C|}{|G|} \sum_{\phi \in \hat{G}} \bar{\phi}(g) \frac{L'}{L}(s,\phi,\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K})$$
(Artin L-functions)

Since C is fixed and $H := \langle g \rangle$ is cyclic, we have also

$$\theta(C;\mathfrak{p}^m):=\frac{|C|}{|G|}\sum_{\chi\in\hat{H}}\bar{\chi}(g)\chi_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{p}^m)\qquad \chi_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{p}^m):=\frac{1}{|I|}\sum_{a\in I}(\mathsf{Ind}_H^G)\chi(\tau^m a).$$

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{I}} \theta(C;\mathfrak{I}) \Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}) (\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I})^{-s} = -\frac{|C|}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{H}} \bar{\chi}(g) \frac{L'}{L}(s,\chi,\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E})$$
(Hecke *L*-functions)

where $\mathbb{E} := \mathbb{L}^{H}$ and each $L(s, \chi, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E})$ is abelian Artin, hence Hecke *L*-function (by class field theory).

Some tools: smoothing

$$\psi^{(1)}(C;x) := \int_0^x \psi(C;u) \,\mathrm{d}u = \sum_{N\mathfrak{I} \leq x} (x - N\mathfrak{I})\theta(C;\mathfrak{I})\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

From the integral representation

$$\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{C};x) = -\frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|\mathcal{G}|} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(g) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \frac{L'}{L}(s,\chi,\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E}) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \, \mathrm{d}s \qquad \forall x \ge 1,$$

$$\psi^{(1)}(C;x) := \int_0^x \psi(C;u) \,\mathrm{d}u = \sum_{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I} \leq x} (x - \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I})\theta(C;\mathfrak{I})\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

$$\psi^{(1)}(C;x) = -\frac{|C|}{|G|} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(g) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \frac{L'}{L} (s,\chi,\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E}) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \, \mathrm{d}s \qquad \forall x \ge 1,$$



$$\psi^{(1)}(C;x) := \int_0^x \psi(C;u) \,\mathrm{d}u = \sum_{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I} \leq x} (x - \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I})\theta(C;\mathfrak{I})\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

$$\psi^{(1)}(C;x) = -\frac{|C|}{|G|} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(g) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \frac{L'}{L} (s,\chi,\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E}) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \, \mathrm{d}s \qquad \forall x \ge 1,$$



$$\psi^{(1)}(C;x) := \int_0^x \psi(C;u) \,\mathrm{d}u = \sum_{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I} \leq x} (x - \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I})\theta(C;\mathfrak{I})\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

$$\psi^{(1)}(C;x) = -\frac{|C|}{|G|} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(g) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \frac{L'}{L} (s,\chi,\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E}) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \, \mathrm{d}s \qquad \forall x \ge 1,$$

$$\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi^{(1)}(C;x) = \frac{x^2}{2} - \sum_{\rho} \epsilon(\rho) \frac{x^{\rho+1}}{\rho(\rho+1)}$$
 ρ runs on the set of zeros
for all $L(s, \chi, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E})$; since
 $\prod_{\chi} L(s, \chi, \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E}) = \zeta_{\mathbb{L}}(s)$,
this is the set of zeros
for the Dedekind of \mathbb{L} .
Weights ϵ satisfy $|\epsilon(\rho)| = 1$.

$$\psi^{(1)}(C;x) := \int_0^x \psi(C;u) \,\mathrm{d}u = \sum_{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I} \leq x} (x - \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I})\theta(C;\mathfrak{I})\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

$$\psi^{(1)}(C;x) = -\frac{|C|}{|G|} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(g) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \frac{L'}{L} (s,\chi,\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E}) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \, \mathrm{d}s \qquad \forall x \ge 1,$$



$$\psi^{(1)}(C;x) := \int_0^x \psi(C;u) \,\mathrm{d}u = \sum_{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I} \leq x} (x - \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I})\theta(C;\mathfrak{I})\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

$$\psi^{(1)}(\mathcal{C};x) = -rac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|\mathcal{G}|}\sum_{\chi}ar{\chi}(g)rac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty}rac{L'}{L}(s,\chi,\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E})rac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)}\,\mathrm{d}s \qquad orall x\geq 1,$$



Some tools: smoothing

$$\psi^{(1)}(C;x) := \int_0^x \psi(C;u) \,\mathrm{d}u = \sum_{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I} \leq x} (x - \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{I})\theta(C;\mathfrak{I})\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{I}).$$

From the integral representation

$$\psi^{(1)}(C;x) = -\frac{|C|}{|G|} \sum_{\chi} \bar{\chi}(g) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \frac{L'}{L} (s,\chi,\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{E}) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \, \mathrm{d}s \qquad \forall x \ge 1,$$



Since
$$\psi^{(1)}(C; x) = \sum_{N\Im \leq x} (x - N\Im)\theta(C; \Im)\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\Im)$$
 and $\theta(C; \Im)$ is nonnegative, one has
 $\psi(C; x) \leq [\psi^{(1)}(C; x + h) - \psi^{(1)}(C; x)]/h$ as $h > 0$,
 $\psi(C; x) \geq [\psi^{(1)}(C; x + h) - \psi^{(1)}(C; x)]/h$ as $-x < h < 0$.

So, we can recover bounds for $\psi(C; x)$ from analogous bounds for $\psi^{(1)}(C; x)$. This is a good idea since the results for $\psi^{(1)}(C; x)$ are stronger.

This approach needs bounds for several "non-trivial" objects. For example

$$\Big|\sum_{\rho}\epsilon(\rho)\frac{(x+h)^{\rho+1}-x^{\rho+1}}{h\rho(\rho+1)}\Big|$$

(ho's are the non trivial zeros for $\zeta_{\mathbb{L}}$) which is split as

$$\leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \left| \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} \right| + \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \left| \frac{(x+h)^{\rho+1} - x^{\rho+1} - h(\rho+1)x^{\rho}}{h\rho(\rho+1)} \right| + \sum_{|\gamma| > T} \left| \frac{(x+h)^{\rho+1} - x^{\rho+1}}{h\rho(\rho+1)} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{|\rho|} + \frac{|h|}{\sqrt{x}} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} 1 + \left(2\frac{x^{3/2}}{|h|} + o(1) \right) \sum_{|\gamma| > T} \frac{1}{|\rho|^2}$$

and we have developed some tools producing goods bounds.

Since
$$\psi^{(1)}(C; x) = \sum_{N\Im \leq x} (x - N\Im)\theta(C; \Im)\Lambda_{\mathbb{K}}(\Im)$$
 and $\theta(C; \Im)$ is nonnegative, one has
 $\psi(C; x) \leq [\psi^{(1)}(C; x + h) - \psi^{(1)}(C; x)]/h$ as $h > 0$,
 $\psi(C; x) \geq [\psi^{(1)}(C; x + h) - \psi^{(1)}(C; x)]/h$ as $-x < h < 0$.

So, we can recover bounds for $\psi(C; x)$ from analogous bounds for $\psi^{(1)}(C; x)$. This is a good idea since the results for $\psi^{(1)}(C; x)$ are stronger.

This approach needs bounds for several "non-trivial" objects. For example

$$\Big|\sum_{
ho}\epsilon(
ho)rac{(x+h)^{
ho+1}-x^{
ho+1}}{h
ho(
ho+1)}\Big|$$

(ho's are the non trivial zeros for $\zeta_{\mathbb{L}}$) which is split as

$$\leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \left| \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} \right| + \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \left| \frac{(x+h)^{\rho+1} - x^{\rho+1} - h(\rho+1)x^{\rho}}{h\rho(\rho+1)} \right| + \sum_{|\gamma| > T} \left| \frac{(x+h)^{\rho+1} - x^{\rho+1}}{h\rho(\rho+1)} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{|\rho|} + \frac{|h|}{\sqrt{x}} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} 1 + \left(2\frac{x^{3/2}}{|h|} + o(1) \right) \sum_{|\gamma| > T} \frac{1}{|\rho|^2}$$

and we have developed some tools producing goods bounds.

The strategy produces the following intermediate result.

Theorem (Grenié-M.) (GRH) Let $x \ge 4$ and $T \ge 2\pi$ then:

$$|\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi(C;x) - x| \leq \sqrt{x} \left[F(x,T)\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}} + G(x,T)n_{\mathbb{L}} + H(x,T)\right]$$

$$F(x,T) = \frac{1}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right) + \cdots, \qquad G(x,T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log^2\left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right) + \cdots,$$
$$H(x,T) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{T} + \cdots.$$

Setting $T \approx_{\mathbb{L}} \sqrt{x}$ (well, actually a lot of computations need here, to control the secondary terms which depend on \mathbb{K} , \mathbb{L} , G and the class C) we reach a result similar to $\square_{B \cap C}$ but for $\psi(C; x)$ (hence using $\theta(C)$, which is a smoothed version of the characteristic function for C), which is related to $\psi_C(x)$ (hence using the characteristic function of C) by

$$\psi(C; x) = \psi_C(x) + \text{ramification term.}$$

The ramification term is positive, hence the upper bound for $\psi(C; x)$ implies the same upper bound for $\psi_C(x)$. For lower bounds some difficult tricks are need, in order to reach the same conclusion for $\psi_C(x)$ and for $\psi(C; x)$.

The strategy produces the following intermediate result.

Theorem (Grenié-M.) (GRH) Let $x \ge 4$ and $T \ge 2\pi$ then:

$$|\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi(C;x)-x| \leq \sqrt{x}\left[F(x,T)\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}+G(x,T)n_{\mathbb{L}}+H(x,T)\right]$$

$$F(x,T) = \frac{1}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right) + \cdots, \qquad G(x,T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log^2\left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right) + \cdots,$$
$$H(x,T) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{T} + \cdots.$$

Setting $T \approx_{\mathbb{L}} \sqrt{x}$ (well, actually a lot of computations need here, to control the secondary terms which depend on \mathbb{K} , \mathbb{L} , G and the class C) we reach a result similar to \checkmark Back but for $\psi(C; x)$ (hence using $\theta(C)$, which is a smoothed version of the characteristic function for C), which is related to $\psi_C(x)$ (hence using the characteristic function of C) by

$\psi(C; x) = \psi_C(x) + \text{ramification term.}$

The ramification term is positive, hence the upper bound for $\psi(C; x)$ implies the same upper bound for $\psi_C(x)$. For lower bounds some difficult tricks are need, in order to reach the same conclusion for $\psi_C(x)$ and for $\psi(C; x)$.

The strategy produces the following intermediate result.

Theorem (Grenié-M.) (GRH) Let $x \ge 4$ and $T \ge 2\pi$ then:

$$|\frac{|G|}{|C|}\psi(C;x) - x| \le \sqrt{x} \left[F(x,T)\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}} + G(x,T)n_{\mathbb{L}} + H(x,T)\right]$$

$$F(x,T) = \frac{1}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right) + \cdots, \qquad G(x,T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log^2\left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right) + \cdots,$$
$$H(x,T) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{T} + \cdots.$$

Setting $T \approx_{\mathbb{L}} \sqrt{x}$ (well, actually a lot of computations need here, to control the secondary terms which depend on \mathbb{K} , \mathbb{L} , G and the class C) we reach a result similar to \checkmark Back but for $\psi(C; x)$ (hence using $\theta(C)$, which is a smoothed version of the characteristic function for C), which is related to $\psi_C(x)$ (hence using the characteristic function of C) by

$$\psi(C; x) = \psi_C(x) + \text{ramification term.}$$

The ramification term is positive, hence the upper bound for $\psi(C;x)$ implies the same upper bound for $\psi_C(x)$. For lower bounds some difficult tricks are need, in order to reach the same conclusion for $\psi_C(x)$ and for $\psi(C;x)$.

ramification term
$$\leq \min\left(rac{|\mathcal{C}|}{p},1
ight)n_{\mathbb{K}}\,\mathfrak{n}\,\log x$$

where p is the smallest prime divisor of |G|, and $\mathfrak{n} := \frac{1}{n_{\mathbb{K}}} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \Delta_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}} 1$.

$$\mathfrak{n} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\log(\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}^{1/n_{\mathbb{K}}})}{\log 4} & \text{for all fields but } \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Q}[\pm\sqrt{3}], \mathbb{Q}[\pm\sqrt{15}], \\ \frac{\log(\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}^{1/n_{\mathbb{K}}})}{\log 49} & \text{if } n_{\mathbb{L}} = 3, \\ \frac{\log(\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}^{1/n_{\mathbb{K}}})}{\log 22} & \text{if } |G| \text{ is not a prime (with 25 exceptions)}, \\ \frac{\log(\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}^{1/n_{\mathbb{K}}})}{\log\log(\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}^{1/n_{\mathbb{K}}}) - 1.1714} & \text{if } \log(\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}^{1/n_{\mathbb{K}}}) > e^{1.1714}. \end{cases}$$

The last bound is a generalization of Robin's result

$$\omega(n) := \sum_{p|n} 1 \le \frac{\log n}{\log \log n - 1.1714}$$

By partial summation:

Theorem (Grenié-M.) (GRH) For $x \ge 2$

$$\left|\frac{|G|}{|C|}\pi_{C}(x) - \int_{2}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\log u}\right| \leq \sqrt{x} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{3}{\log x}\right)\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}} + \left(\frac{\log x}{8\pi} + \frac{1}{4\pi} + \frac{6}{\log x}\right)n_{\mathbb{L}}\right).$$

The bounds coming from the estimations for $\psi(C; x)$ prove that there is a prime ideal with a given Frobenius and a norm bounded by $(0.1 + o(1))(\log \Delta_{\mathbb{L}})^2(\log \log \Delta_{\mathbb{L}})^4$. Using $\psi^{(1)}(C; x)$ we can remove the double log term.

Theorem (Grenié-M.) (GRH) Let $k \ge 1$. Then $\pi_C(x) \ge k$ when

$$x \ge 1.16 \Big(\log \Delta_{\mathbb{L}} + \Big(\frac{k+5}{3} \Big) |G| + 15 \Big)^2.$$

Under the same hypotheses Bach proved that $\pi_C(x) \ge 1$ when

$$x \ge 1 (\log \Delta_{\mathbb{L}} + \text{const.})^2,$$

but its argument deals only k = 1.

By partial summation:

Theorem (Grenié-M.) (GRH) For $x \ge 2$

$$\left|\frac{|G|}{|C|}\pi_{C}(x) - \int_{2}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\log u}\right| \leq \sqrt{x} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{3}{\log x}\right)\log\Delta_{\mathbb{L}} + \left(\frac{\log x}{8\pi} + \frac{1}{4\pi} + \frac{6}{\log x}\right)n_{\mathbb{L}}\right).$$

The bounds coming from the estimations for $\psi(C; x)$ prove that there is a prime ideal with a given Frobenius and a norm bounded by $(0.1 + o(1))(\log \Delta_{\mathbb{L}})^2(\log \log \Delta_{\mathbb{L}})^4$. Using $\psi^{(1)}(C; x)$ we can remove the double log term.

Theorem (Grenié-M.) (GRH) Let $k \ge 1$. Then $\pi_C(x) \ge k$ when

$$x \geq 1.16 \Big(\log \Delta_{\mathbb{L}} + \Big(rac{k+5}{3} \Big) |G| + 15 \Big)^2.$$

Under the same hypotheses Bach proved that $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x) \geq 1$ when

$$x \ge 1 (\log \Delta_{\mathbb{L}} + \text{const.})^2,$$

but its argument deals only k = 1.

Thanks you for your attention