

Finite Ramanujan expansions
and
shifted convolution sums

(joint work with M.Ram Murty & B. Saha)

Giovanni Coppola
University of Salerno

We define the *shifted convolution sum* (also, *correlation*) of any couple $f, g : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ as

$$C_{f,g}(N, a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n \leq N} f(n)g(n + a).$$

The integer variable $a > 0$ is the *shift*.

There's a lack of asymptotic/explicit formulæ, for correlations of interesting f, g (esp., case $f = g = \Lambda$, the von-Mangoldt function, with even $a = 2k \geq 2$, involves $2k$ -twin primes!), too difficult (apart special cases) to achieve, even for one single, fixed shift $a > 0$.

For $f' \stackrel{def}{=} f * \mu$ and $g' \stackrel{def}{=} g * \mu$ Möbius inversion

$$\Rightarrow f(n) = \sum_{d|n} f'(d) \quad \text{and} \quad g(m) = \sum_{q|m} g'(q)$$

so : **vital remark** is that inside

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad C_{f,g}(N, a) &= \sum_d f'(d) \sum_q g'(q) \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod d \\ n \equiv -a \pmod q}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{d \leq N} f'(d) \sum_{q \leq N+a} g'(q) \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod d \\ n \equiv -a \pmod q}} 1, \end{aligned}$$

our $f(n)$, $g(m)$ become *truncated divisor sums*

$$\sum_{d|n, d \leq N} f'(d), \quad \sum_{q|m, q \leq N+a} g'(q)$$

(depending on both variables, N and shift a);
the condition $d|n$ can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{1}_{d|n} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j \leq d} e_d(jn) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{q|d} c_q(n),$$

involving *Ramanujan sums*

$$c_q(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j \leq q, (j,q)=1} e_q(jn),$$

after g.c.d. rearrangement, from *orthogonality*
of *additive characters* $e_q(m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{2\pi im/q}$.

We immediately get **any arithmetic functions**
 $f, g : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ have (inside $C_{f,g}$) following *finite*
Ramanujan expansions (exchanging sums now)

$$f(n) = \sum_{d \leq N} f'(d) \mathbf{1}_{d|n} = \sum_{q \leq N} \hat{f}(q) c_q(n),$$

$$g(m) = \sum_{d \leq N+a} g'(d) \mathbf{1}_{d|m} = \sum_{q \leq N+a} \hat{g}(q) c_q(m),$$

(finite expansions depending on N, a again)

with *Ramanujan coefficients*

$$\widehat{f}(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{d \leq N \\ d \equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \frac{f'(d)}{d}, \quad \widehat{g}(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{d \leq N+a \\ d \equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \frac{g'(d)}{d}.$$

Thus heuristic formula for f and g correlation

$$(2) \quad C_{f,g}(N, a) \sim S_{f,g}(a)N,$$

with $a \geq 1$, defining the f and g *singular series*:

$$S_{f,g}(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(q) \widehat{g}(q) c_q(a).$$

This has been proved in our first work (with Murty & Saha, see JNT) for particular f, g .

Actually, it is the *singular sum* (after N , $\hat{f} = 0$)

$$S_{f,g}(a) = \sum_{q \leq N} \hat{f}(q) \hat{g}(q) c_q(a).$$

On the other hand, it depends on N . But, this variable is implicit in f, g .

Aficionados of Hardy-Littlewood method will say: these are only partial sums of singular series!

Heuristic (2) inspired the definition:

$$(3) \quad C_{f,g}(N, a) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \widehat{C}_{f,g}(N, \ell) c_{\ell}(a), \quad \forall a \in \mathbf{N}$$

which is the *shift-Ramanujan expansion* of our correlation. Notice: Hildebrand's Theorem ensures pointwise convergence! (For all arithmetic functions, here shift a is the argument)

(Big!) Problem is to find the *shift-Ramanujan coefficients* $\widehat{C}_{f,g}(N, \ell)$.

Now, we **don't know**, if (3) is a **finite** sum!

For this, *Carmichael formula*

$$\widehat{C}_{f,g}(N, \ell) = \frac{1}{\varphi(\ell)} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \leq x} C_{f,g}(N, m) c_{\ell}(m)$$

is useful. Two pbs: 1) when? & 2) how?

Both questions need two **new concepts**: the *purity*, of a Ramanujan expansion, and the *fair correlations*.

We say a Ramanujan expansion is “pure”, iff coefficients & their supports **do not depend** on outer variable. In other words, the variable we expand *appears only in Ramanujan sums*. In above (3) outer variable’s the shift a .

Purity is a **strong** requirement: *finite & pure* Ramanujan exp.s *are truncated divisor sums!* (Hilbrand Th.m expands any $f(n)$ into finite Ramanujan exp. \Rightarrow not pure: n -dependence)

Very similar is the definition: $C_{f,g}(N, a)$ is *fair* $\stackrel{def}{\iff}$ a -dependence is only inside g argument $(n + a)$. Equivalently, $f(n)$ and $\hat{g}(q)$ do not depend on a , neither in supports, in following

$$(4) \quad C_{f,g}(N, a) = \sum_q \hat{g}(q) \sum_{n \leq N} f(n) c_q(n + a).$$

This formula comes easily from the g finite Ramanujan expansion.

Our 2nd paper (C-Murty) proves the following.

Abbreviate Ramanujan expansion (3) as s.R.e.

Theorem 1. *Assume $g(m) = \sum_{q|m, q \leq Q} g'(q)$, Q independent of a and $C_{f,g}(N, a)$ is fair. Then F.A.E.*

- s.R.e. *is pure & uniformly convergent;*
- s.R.e. *coefficients from Carmichael formula;*
- s.R.e. *has **R**amanujan **e**xact **e**xplicit **f**ormula:*

$$C_{f,g}(N, a) = \sum_{\ell \leq Q} \frac{\hat{g}(\ell)}{\varphi(\ell)} \sum_{n \leq N} f(n) c_\ell(n) c_\ell(a) \forall a \in \mathbf{N}$$

- s.R.e. *is pure & finite.*

Definition: such a s.R.e. is *regular*.

Remark: Once found the **Reef**, we'd find the treasure (our's to prove (2) above) !

This is not a joke, but (for reasonable f, g) a consequence:

Corollary 1. *Same hypotheses of Theorem 1 give, for $f(n) = \sum_{d|n, d \leq D} f'(d)$, $\frac{\log D}{\log N} < 1 - \delta$, with regular s.R.e., whenever f, g satisfy the Ramanujan Conjecture,*

$$C_{f,g}(N, a) = S_{f,g}(a)N + O(N^{1-\delta}).$$

Notice “gain”, $\delta > 0$, in remainder’s exponent depends on f .

(In general they both work, for all g , taking $Q = N$ and cut as (1) on [arxiv:1709.06445](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.06445))

The case $f = g = \Lambda$ is not covered now (i.e., in II, Cor.1) but in arxiv:1709.06445 we are calculating a -primes ($a = 2k \geq 2$) correlation, from

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \Lambda(n) c_\ell(n) \approx \sum_{p \leq N} (\log p) c_\ell(p) \sim \mu(\ell) N$$

($\forall \ell$, apart “few cases”, by PNT), in the **Reef** of a -twin primes. (Btw, $\hat{\Lambda}(q) = ?$ See JNT)

Twin primes regularity gives H-L asymptotic! (On arxiv:1709.06445 we need Delange Hp, now even less: see following)

Since regularity is “hard”, to prove, we come to “soft”, say, hypotheses: work in progress.

Natural question: what can we prove only from “ g is of range Q and fair correlation”? These (in Th.m 1), we call “basic hypotheses”, give

$$C_{f,g}(N, a) = \sum_{\ell \leq Q} \widehat{C}_{f,g}(N, Q, \ell) c_\ell(a) + \sum_{\substack{d|a \\ d > Q}} C'_{f,g}(d),$$

by Möbius inversion & $d|a$ formula, with **pure** “truncated Ramanujan coeff.s” :

$$\widehat{C}_{f,g}(N, Q, \ell) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{d \leq Q \\ d \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}}} \frac{C'_{f,g}(d)}{d}$$

similar to **Wintner-Delange formula** (for infinite expansions, under hypotheses). Defined Eratosthenes transform of correlation as:

$$C'_{f,g}(d) = C'_{f,g}(N, d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{t|d} C_{f,g}(N, t) \mu(d/t).$$

Then, (4) above, fairness and Carmichael for truncated $\widehat{C}_{f,g}$ (from purity) give $\forall q \in \mathbf{N}$

$$\widehat{C}_{f,g}(N, Q, q) = \frac{\widehat{g}(q)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{n \leq N} f(n)c_q(n) - L(q),$$

abbreviating $\forall q \in \mathbf{N}$

$$L(q) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \lim_x \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{d|m, d > Q} C'_{f,g}(d)c_q(m),$$

notice always exists $\in \mathbf{C}$ and vanishes (as $0-0$) on $q > Q$. **In all**, $C_{f,g}(N, a) =$

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \left(\frac{\widehat{g}(q)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{n \leq N} f(n)c_q(n) - L(q) \right) c_q(a) + \sum_{\substack{d|a \\ d > Q}} C'_{f,g}(d)$$

comes from "g of range Q and fair correlation".

Well, $L(q)$ is a kind of “grey box”, since:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{d|m, d > Q} C'_{f,g}(d) c_q(m) \\
 &= \sum_{Q < d \leq x} C'_{f,g}(d) \cdot \frac{1}{x} \sum_{K \leq \frac{x}{d}} c_q(dK) \\
 &= \sum_{Q < d \leq x} C'_{f,g}(d) \left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{d} \mathbf{1}_{q|d} + O_q \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

from classical exponential sums cancellation. Then “Slow Decay”, abbrev. SD,

$$\text{SD} \quad \sum_{d \leq x} |C'_{f,g}(d)| = o(x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

(tantamount to : $|C'_{f,g}(d)|$'s mean-value = 0 !)

is the right **further hypothesis** to get $L(q)$ and the new “beyond Ramanujan coeff.s”:

$$L(q) = \sum_{\substack{d > Q \\ d \equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \frac{C'_{f,g}(d)}{d} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{Q < d \leq x \\ d \equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \frac{C'_{f,g}(d)}{d}$$

This added to $\widehat{C}_{f,g}(N, Q, q)$ above gives the q -th “extended Ramanujan coeff.”:

$$\sum_{d \equiv 0 \pmod q} \frac{C'_{f,g}(d)}{d} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{d \leq x \\ d \equiv 0 \pmod q}} \frac{C'_{f,g}(d)}{d}$$

where $\exists \lim \in \mathbf{C}$ and $= 0$ on $q > Q$, like beyond ones. **Extended are Wintner-Delange!**

In all, basic hypotheses & Slow Decay give explicitly Wintner-Delange coefficients

$$\sum_{d \equiv 0 \pmod \ell} \frac{C'_{f,g}(d)}{d} = \frac{\widehat{g}(\ell)}{\varphi(\ell)} \sum_{n \leq N} f(n) c_\ell(n)$$

very easily from above! Short calculations **add**

$$\begin{aligned} C_{f,g}(N, a) &= \sum_{\ell \leq Q} \frac{\widehat{g}(\ell)}{\varphi(\ell)} \sum_{n \leq N} f(n) c_\ell(n) c_\ell(a) \\ &+ \sum_{d > Q} \frac{C'_{f,g}(d)}{d} \sum_{\substack{\ell | d \\ \ell > Q}} c_\ell(a). \end{aligned}$$

See, if we may exchange ℓ, d sums into

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell|d} \frac{C'_{f,g}(d)}{d} c_{\ell}(a) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}} \frac{C'_{f,g}(d)}{d} c_{\ell}(a)$$

then on LHS detecting $\mathbf{1}_{\ell|d} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\ell|d} c_{\ell}(a)$ gives

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{C'_{f,g}(d)}{d} \sum_{\ell|d} c_{\ell}(a) = \sum_{d|a} C'_{f,g}(d) = C_{f,g}(N, a),$$

with on RHS the Wintner-Delange coefficients

$$\sum_{d \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}} \frac{C'_{f,g}(d)}{d} = \frac{\hat{g}(\ell)}{\varphi(\ell)} \sum_{n \leq N} f(n) c_{\ell}(n), \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbf{N}$$

thus giving, again, the **Reef**. Under which hypotheses ? For example, Wintner Assumption:

$$\text{WA} \quad \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{|C'_{f,g}(d)|}{d} < \infty$$

because (key ingredient: vanishing after Q)

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}} \frac{|C'_{f,g}(d)|}{d} \cdot |c_{\ell}(a)|$$

$$\leq Q \max_{\ell \leq Q} |c_{\ell}(a)| \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{|C'_{f,g}(d)|}{d} < \infty$$

\Rightarrow double series abs.convergence, whence ℓ, d exchange. Notice, WA gives the explicit formula for coefficients, based on Carmichael formula; so, the hypotheses of Theorem 1 ensure that Carmichael formula reaches the Reef!

In all: " g of range Q , fair correlation & WA "
 \implies **The Reef!**

Actually, under basic hypotheses, WA is the fifth condition to express regularity !

Advantage of WA on other conditions is, of course: "easy check".

(One technical, last comment's : $WA \implies SD$)

T H A N K S ! ! !

- [1] Coppola, G., Murty, M.Ram and Saha, B.
- *Finite Ramanujan expansions and shifted convolution sums of arithmetical functions*
- JNT

- [2] Coppola, G. and Murty, M.Ram - *Finite Ramanujan expansions and shifted convolution sums of arithmetical functions, II* -
to appear on JNT (see arxiv meanwhile)

- [3] Coppola, G. - *An elementary property of correlations* - arxiv:1709.06445